

Metabolic serum biomarkers for the prediction of cancer: a follow-up of the studies conducted in the Swedish AMORIS study

Cecilia Bosco^{1,2}, Wahyu Wulaningsih^{1,2}, Jennifer Melvin¹, Aida Santaolalla¹, Mario De Piano¹, Rhonda Arthur¹ and Mieke Van Hemelrijck¹

¹King's College London, Division of Cancer Studies, Cancer Epidemiology Group, Research Oncology, 3rd floor, Bermondsey wing, Guy's Hospital, London SE1 9RT, UK

²Both authors contributed equally

Correspondence to: Mieke Van Hemelrijck. Email: mieke.vanhemelrijck@kcl.ac.uk

Abstract

The Swedish Apolipoprotein MOrtality RISK study (AMORIS) contains information on more than 500 biomarkers collected from 397,443 men and 414,630 women from the greater Stockholm area during the period 1985–1996. Using a ten-digit personal identification code, this database has been linked to Swedish national registries, which provide data on socioeconomic status, vital status, cancer diagnosis, comorbidity, and emigration. Within AMORIS, 18 studies assessing risk of overall and site-specific cancers have been published, utilising a range of serum markers representing glucose and lipid metabolism, immune system, iron metabolism, liver metabolism, and bone metabolism. This review briefly summarises these findings in relation to more recently published studies and provides an overview of where we are today and the challenges of observational studies when studying cancer risk prediction.

Overall, more recent observational studies supported previous findings obtained in AMORIS, although no new results have been reported for serum fructosamine and inorganic phosphate with respect to cancer risk. A drawback of using serum markers in predicting cancer risk is the potential fluctuations following other pathological conditions, resulting in non-specificity and imprecision of associations observed. Utilisation of multiple combination markers may provide more specificity, as well as give us repeated instead of single measurements. Associations with other diseases may also necessitate further analytical strategies addressing effects of serum markers on competing events in addition to cancer. Finally, delineating the role of serum metabolic markers may generate valuable information to complement emerging clinical studies on preventive effects of drugs and supplements targeting metabolic disorders against cancer.

Keywords: *cancer, serum lipids, serum glucose, C-reactive protein, leukocytes, IgE, calcium, iron, gamma-glutamyl transferase*

Published: 23/07/2015

Received: 05/11/2014

ecancer 2015, 9:555 DOI: 10.3332/ecancer.2015.555

Copyright: © the authors; licensee ecancermedicalscience. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

The Swedish AMORIS database is by far one of the largest prospective cohort studies with detailed information on serum biomarkers. Between 1985 and 1996, the Central Automation Laboratory collected and analysed blood samples of 397,443 men and 414,630 women, mainly from the greater Stockholm area [1–4]. All individuals were either healthy individuals referred for clinical laboratory testing as part of a general health checkup or outpatients. This database with information on >500 biomarkers has been linked to several Swedish national registries such as the National Cancer Register, the Patient Register, the Cause of Death Register, the consecutive Swedish Censuses during 1970–1990, and the National Register of Emigration. By using the Swedish ten-digit personal identity number one can get information on socioeconomic status, vital status, cancer diagnosis, comorbidity, and emigration.

With respect to cancer outcomes, 18 studies to date investigated the association with serum biomarkers of lipid and glucose metabolism, the immune system, liver metabolism, iron metabolism, and bone metabolism in AMORIS [5–22]. Following a brief overview of the results found for all biomarkers studied in AMORIS, the current review aims to summarise subsequently published epidemiological evidence on these serum biomarkers in relation to risk of cancer development.

Literature review

For each following subsection we used related medical subject headings (MeSH) terms for the biomarkers studied in AMORIS as well as 'neoplasm'. Both PubMed and Embase were searched only using the date of AMORIS publications as a limitation to ensure that we found all epidemiological evidence published subsequently to our findings in this Swedish prospective cohort. Studies relevant to previous work in AMORIS were selected and included in this review.

Lipid metabolism

Selected biomarkers

A wide variety of serum biomarkers allow the investigation into the association between lipid metabolism and cancer. **Triglycerides** constitute the majority of the lipids in the body, whereas **cholesterol** is a precursor for plasma membranes, bile salts, steroid hormones, and other specialised molecules. Cholesterol requires lipoproteins to be transported in the blood stream. **Low density lipoproteins** (LDL) are the main cholesterol carriers and they deliver cholesterol to cells throughout the body [23]. In contrast, **high-density lipoproteins** (HDL) remove excess cholesterol from blood and tissue. **Apolipoproteins A-I and B** (ApoA-I and ApoB) are structural proteins of these lipoprotein particles assisting in their transport [24].

Dyslipidaemia, or abnormal lipid metabolism, is thought to be involved in cancer development through a pathway linked to fatty acid synthesis [25–29]. High serum levels of lipid components such as triglycerides, total cholesterol, LDL, and ApoB have also been implicated in development of certain types of cancers such as breast and prostate by stimulating the Akt and AMPK pathways, which are associated with DNA damage and cell proliferation [30–32]. Additionally, hypercholesterolaemia has been shown to up-regulate the activity of transcriptional factors such as Sterol Regulatory Element-Binding Proteins (SREBP) and low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR), which promote carcinogenesis [33, 34]. All these evidence suggests a potential role of serum lipids in the prediction of cancer.

Findings in AMORIS

We have studied the interplay between glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol and the associated risk of prostate, kidney, and gastrointestinal cancers [10, 11, 14, 15]. Our findings supported the hypothesis that components from the lipid metabolism influence risk of developing cancer, although a greater risk of prostate cancer with increasing triglycerides was only seen in men with higher glucose levels [11].

Low levels of HDL and ApoA-I were also found to be associated with increased prostate cancer risk [14]. Additionally, we studied the link between serum lipids and risk of breast, endometrial, and ovarian cancer [7, 8], and found a positive association between serum triglycerides and risk of endometrial cancer, whereas only a weak inverse relation was observed for breast cancer.

New epidemiological findings in the literature

Since the last AMORIS publication, several epidemiological studies have also focused on serum lipid markers and risk of prostate cancer (Table 1). A statistically significant positive association was observed with total cholesterol [35–38], whereas an inverse association was found for triglycerides [39]. When focusing specifically on aggressive prostate cancer, the Cancer Prevention Study II Nutrition Cohort [40] reported that neither total cholesterol, LDL- or HDL-cholesterol were associated with it. Also for gastrointestinal cancers, many more studies have been published. Total cholesterol and triglycerides have been positively associated with risk of colorectal cancer [41, 42], whereas HDL has been found to either have no effect or reduce this risk [43]. Most studies failed to demonstrate any effect of circulating lipids on risk of rectal cancer alone [43–45].

In addition, an increased risk for breast, bladder, and pancreatic cancer has been observed among those with high circulating levels of total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL, and low circulating levels of HDL [35, 46–49] compared to those with normal levels. In contrast, no statistically significant association was found between lipid components and risk of ovarian cancer in the Metabolic syndrome and Cancer project (Me-Can) [50]. Similarly, null-findings were observed in a prospective cohort study based on a Korean population focusing on cervical, kidney, gall bladder, pancreatic, lung, and oesophageal cancers. However, in the same study when authors analysed serum lipid levels and the associated risk of stomach and liver cancer, they found an inverse association [35]. With respect to the inverse association between ApoA-I and cancer, as observed in AMORIS, four studies corroborated these findings [14, 43, 48, 49, 51].

Where are we today?

Dyslipidaemia is closely linked to obesity, another emerging risk factor for several cancers [52]. This implies that despite the suggested mechanisms, abnormal lipid metabolism may be a proxy of other lifestyle-related factors underlying carcinogenesis. Nevertheless, there is evidence suggesting that statins, a class of lipid-lowering drug, may suppress cell proliferation and increase apoptosis by inhibiting the action of the enzyme hydroxymethylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase [53–55], further indicating the involvement of lipids in carcinogenesis. The inverse association between ApoA-I and cancer as found in our study was potentially related to not only inflammation [56], but other lifestyle factors such as body mass index (BMI), cigarette smoking, alcohol intake, diabetes, or hypertension influencing the circulating levels of ApoA-I. This lipid biomarker has been shown to be predictive of cardiovascular risk [4, 57] and it is thus possible that the aetiological pathway between lipid profiles and atherosclerosis is different from the pathway between lipid profiles and cancer. The strong association between the lipid metabolism and cardiovascular disease also indicates a potential competing risk situation [58], where individuals at risk of cancer may die of cardiovascular disease before being diagnosed with cancer. This urges further studies to address the issue especially when assessing serum lipids in relation to cancer.

Glucose metabolism

Selected biomarkers

Disruptions in the glucose metabolism, which encompass an array of metabolic abnormalities such as diabetes, have been linked to chronic diseases including cancer [59]. Serum **glucose** is the most commonly measured marker of the glucose metabolism, representing current levels of glucose in the circulation. **Fructosamine** is another commonly used marker and reflects the average level of serum glucose in the previous 10–14 days [60]. Insulin, with elevated levels marking the initial stage of impaired glucose metabolism, has been suggested to be involved in carcinogenesis through its growth-promoting effects on cells [61]. Similar mutagenic effects have been suggested for a closely linked marker, insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) [62]. Additionally, serum glucose may directly affect cancer through generation of Advanced Glycation End-products (AGE), which leads to chronic inflammation [63]. Fructosamine, which represents all glycated serum proteins, may therefore also be involved in this mechanism. The role of impaired glucose metabolism in cancer development and survival has been suggested [64], for instance, Hammarsten *et al* showed in a prospective study of 320 prostate cancer patients that men who died of clinical prostate cancer during follow-up had a higher prevalence of type 2 diabetes ($P < 0.035$) and higher levels of fasting plasma insulin ($P = 0.004$) [65]. These results indicated that insulin levels could be used as markers of prostate cancer prognosis and tumour aggressiveness, regardless of the patient's prostate cancer stage, cancer grade, and PSA level. Data from another prospective cohort in Sweden also

Table 1. Epidemiological studies on lipid metabolism and cancer.

Publication	Study population	Study design	No. Of subjects, follow-up	Exposure	Outcome	Main results	Adjustments
Haggstrom, H. 2012 [39]	Me-Can cohort	Prospective cohort	289,866 men included.	Smoking status, BMI, blood pressure, glucose, cholesterol and TG.	PCa risk	High levels of triglycerides were associated with decreased risk of pc a top quintile RR 1.24 (1.06–1.45) bottom quintile 0.88 (0.74–1.04).	Smoking, BMI.
Jacobs, E.J.2012 [40]	Cancer prevention study II nutrition cohort	Cohort.	236 cases and 236 matched controls.	TC, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, non-HDL cholesterol. (non-fasting).	PCa risk	Neither total, LDL, nor HDL cholesterol concentrations were associated with risk of pca. OR 0.93 (95% CI 0.76–1.14) for total cholesterol and 0.97 (95% CI 0.82–1.16)	Age, race, blood draw date, physical activity, use of cholesterol-lowering drugs, and history of heart attack.
His, M 2014. [49]	Supplementation en vitamines et minéraux antioxydants study	Cohort	7557 subjects	TC, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, TG, ApoA1, apob	Breast cancer and PCa risk	TC was inversely associated with overall (HR = 0.91 95% CI 0.82–1.00) and breast (HR = 0.83 95% CI 0.69–0.99) cancer risk. HDL-c was also inversely associated with overall (HR = 0.61 95% CI 0.46–0.82) and breast (HR = 0.48 95% CI 0.28–0.83) cancer risk. Consistently apoA1 was inversely associated with overall (HR = 0.56 95% CI 0.39–0.82) and breast (HR = 0.36 95% CI 0.18–0.73) cancer risk.	Age, intervention group, number of dietary records, alcohol intake per day, physical activity. Smoking status, educational level, height, BMI, family history of bca, menopausal status at baseline, TG-lowering drugs antihypertensive drugs, energy intake per day and glycaemia. Ratio models adjusted for TG and TC.
Wu, Q. 2012 [48]	Hospital PUMCH patient information database	Case-control	210 pancreatic adenocarcinoma, 630 healthy controls	TC, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, TG, ApoA1, apob, fasting blood glucose.	Pancreatic adenocarcinoma risk	TC (OR=1.793 95% 1.067–3.013) and ApoA (OR = 36.065 95% 15.547–83.663) were significantly related to pancreatic adenocarcinoma.	Age and sex.
Agnoli, C. 2014 [41]	Colorectal cancer cases	Cohort	1134 participants 850 in randomly selected cohort and 286 colorectal cancer cases	TC, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, TG. (Fasting)	Colorectal cancer risk	Highest tertiles of total (HR = 1.66 95% 1.12–2.45) and LDL cholesterol (HR1.87 95% CI 1.27–2.76) were associated with increased colorectal cancer risk.	Age, gender, BMI, smoking, total physical activity, alcohol consumption, dietary red meat, dietary fiber, and dietary calcium.
Jiang, R. 2014 [51]	Cancer registry	Cohort	807 patients.	TC, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, TG, ApoA1, ApoB	Nasopharyngeal carcinoma survival	ApoA-I levels (HR = 0.64 95% CI 0.52–0.80) were associated with a favourable OS.	Adjustment for clinical characteristics and other serum lipids and lipoproteins
Kim, H.S.2013 [42]		Cohort	14932	BMI, H.pylori, TC, LDL-c, HDL-c, TG	Prevalence and risk factors of colorectal cancer	Predictor of colorectal cancer was hypertriglyceridemia (OR = 1.267 95% CI 1.065–1.508)	—

Table 1. Continued.

Shafique, K. 2012 [38]	Midspan studies	Prospective cohort study	12,926 men (650 cases)	Baseline cholesterol TC (fasting)	Incidence of pCa and prognosis	Baseline plasma cholesterol was associated with hazard of high grade PCa incidence (n = 119).	Association remained significant after adjustment for body mass index, smoking and socioeconomic status
Kitahara <i>et al</i> . 2011 [35]	Korean adults enrolled in the National Health Insurance Corporation	Cohort	53,944 men and 24,475 women	Cervix, breast, colon, lung, pancreas, bladder, kidney, oesophagus, gall bladder, liver, rectal, prostate cancer risk	TC (≥ 240 mg/dL) was associated with PCa (HR 1.24; 95% CI, 1.07 –1.44; P = 0.01) and colon cancer (HR, 1.12; 95% CI, 1.00–1.25; P = 0.5) in men. Breast cancer (HR, 1.17; 95% CI, 1.03 –1.33; P trend = 0.3). Total cholesterol was inversely associated with all-cancer incidence in both men (HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.81–0.86; P < .001) and women (HR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.87–0.95; P < .001).	Adjustments for cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, BMI, physical activity, hypertension and fasting serum glucose.	Adjustments for cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, BMI, physical activity, hypertension and fasting serum glucose.
Mondul <i>et al</i> . 2011 [37]	ATBC Study	Cohort	2041	TC, HDL (fasting)	PCa risk	Men with higher serum TC were at increased risk of overall (≥ 240 versus <200 mg/dL; HR = 1.22, 95% CI 1.03–1.44, p-trend = 0.01) and advanced (≥ 240 versus <200 mg/dL: HR = 1.85, 95% CI 1.13–3.03, p-trend = 0.05) prostate cancer	Adjusted for serum α -tocopherol, family history of prostate cancer, education level, and urban residence, other cholesterol type, smoking habits, BMI, marital status; total energy, total fat, fruit, vegetable, red meat, alcohol, dietary retinol, vitamin D, calcium intake. Subgroup analyses were conducted stratifying by follow-up time (<ten years, >ten years).
Kok <i>et al</i> . 2011 [36]	Nijmegen Biomedical Study	Cohort	2842	TG, TC, HDL, LDL	PCa risk	Higher total and higher LDL cholesterol were significantly associated with an increased risk of prostate cancer HR 1.39 (95% CI 1.03–1.88) and 1.42 (95% CI 1.00–2.02), respectively. Similar results were observed for aggressive prostate cancer, whereas for non-aggressive prostate cancer a significant association with HDL cholesterol was found HR 4.28, 95% CI 1.17–5.67.	Adjusted for age, body mass index and history of diabetes mellitus

Table 1. Continued.

Agnoli <i>et al</i> , 2010 [47]	Cancer registry	Cohort	163	TG, HDL	Breast cancer risk	Metabolic syndrome associated with breast cancer risk (rate ratio 1.58 [95% confidence interval 1.07–2.33]). Low serum HDL-cholesterol and high triglycerides were significantly associated with increased risk	Adjusted for matching variables and for: age, age at menarche, years from menopause, number of full-term pregnancies, age at first birth, oral contraceptives, hormone therapy, years of education, history of breast cancer in first degree relatives, breastfeeding, smoking, and alcohol consumption.
Björge <i>et al</i> , 2011 [50]	Me-Can study	Cohort	644	TG, TC (fasting and non-fasting)	Ovarian cancer	–	Year of birth, age at measurement, smoking and quintile levels of BMI
Van Duijn-hoven <i>et al</i> , 2011 [43]	EPIC study	Nested case-control (EPIC)	1238	TG, TC, HDL, LDL, Apo A-1, Apo B (NS)	Colorectal cancer risk	HDL and apoA were inversely associated with the risk of colon cancer (RR for 1 SD increase of 16.6 mg/dl in HDL and 32.0 mg/dl in apoA of 0.78 (95% CI 0.68–0.89) and 0.82 (95% CI 0.72–0.94), respectively.	Height, weight, smoking habits, physical activity, education, consumption of fruit, vegetables, meat, fish and alcohol, intake of fibre, energy from fat and energy from non-fat
Hu <i>et al</i> , 2011 [43]	Cancer registry	Case-control	397	TG, HDL (fasting)	Colorectal cancer risk	TGs associated with cancer risk : HR for ≥ 150 mg/dl vs <150 mg/dl: 1.18; 95% CI: 0.9–1.51. HDL (-): HR for <40 mg/dL versus ≥ 40 mg/dL (men) or <50 mg/dL versus ≥ 50 mg/dL (women): 0.94; 95% CI: 0.71–1.24.	Age, sex, smoking, drinking, past history of adenoma, other components of metabolic syndrome.
Aleksandrova <i>et al</i> 2011 [45]	EPIC study	Nested case-control (EPIC)	689	TG, HDL, (fasting and non-fasting)	Colon, rectal cancer risk	Reduced HDL associated with colon cancer risk RR for ≤ 40 mg/dL versus > 40 mg/dL in men and ≤ 50 mg/dL versus > 50 mg/dL in women: 1.36; 95% CI: 1.04–1.77.	Smoking status, education, alcohol consumption, physical activity, fiber intake, consumption of fruits and vegetables, red and processed meat, fish, and shellfish.
Stocks <i>et al</i> , 2011 [46]	Me-Can study	Cohort	2834 men, 1861 women	TG, TC (fasting and non-fasting)	Colorectal cancer risk	TGs were found to be positively associated with cancer risk RR for fifth versus first quintile: 1.65; 95% CI: 1.27–2.13 (men), RR for fifth versus first quintile: 1.42; 95% CI: 1.09–1.85 (women).)	Smoking, five categories of birth year, age at measurement and quintiles of BMI

suggested that insulin resistance related factors might be important for tumour progression [66]. With regards to breast cancer, two genetic variations (MNTR1a and 1b genes) have been shown to be associated both with cancer susceptibility and perturbed expression of insulin and glucose [67].

Findings in AMORIS

Apart from the interplay between glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol, we also investigated possible associations between glucose levels and risk of breast, endometrial, and ovarian cancer in a cohort of more than 230,000 women [7, 8, 21]. Our results indicated that glucose levels below diagnostic threshold for diabetes increased the risk of endometrial and postmenopausal breast cancer. Most recently, we investigated repeated measurements of glucose, and fructosamine in relation to cancer risk and found highest cancer risks for those in the highest tertile of glucose and lowest tertile of fructosamine [16].

New epidemiological findings in the literature

The more recent literature provides further epidemiological evidence on how the glucose metabolism play a role in the risk of a number of cancers such as colon, liver, and endometrial cancers [68–72] (Table 2). Interestingly, one study on thyroid cancer found a positive association for serum glucose in men and an inverse association in women [73]. This might imply a different role of the glucose metabolism in endocrine-related cancers. However, studies on the role of serum glucose concentrations and breast cancer risk were inconclusive [74]. No new findings have been reported for the link between fructosamine and risk of cancer.

Where are we today?

Common key players in impaired glucose metabolism and cancer may indicate that both share an underlying mechanism rather than any causal role of serum glucose in carcinogenesis [75]. However, a protective effect against cancer has been suggested for metformin, one of the main medications to lower blood glucose [76–78], which supports the role of the glucose metabolism. In addition to glucose-lowering effects, metformin also possesses a direct anti-tumour effect by inhibiting protein synthesis and cell proliferation [79]. Another issue to be addressed when assessing the glucose metabolism in relation to cancer is turnover times for the serum markers. Fructosamine and HbA1c, which remain in the circulation for a longer duration than serum glucose, may provide more accurate representation of individual glycaemic status. The variability of serum glucose may also be accounted for by using multiple measurements as performed in one of our studies [16], either as a cumulative average or time-varying covariates [80]. Future studies should also consider the role of glucose metabolism markers in other chronic diseases, which may distort its association with cancer.

Immune system

Selected biomarkers

The role of the immune system in carcinogenesis was first shown by an observation of cancer occurring in chronic inflammation [81]. It is thought that inflammation is capable of triggering both tumour initiation and promotion through the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen intermediates (RNI) [82]. **C-reactive protein** (CRP) is one of the most investigated markers of inflammation in the context of cancer detection and prognosis. Higher levels of post-diagnosis CRP have been linked with worse survival rates in various malignancies [83–85]. In addition to CRP, **albumin**, **haptoglobin**, and **leukocytes** are other commonly used markers of inflammation. Albumin is an acute-phase protein involved in blood volume regulation and transportation of molecules of low water solubility such as lipid soluble hormones and calcium. Together with leukocytes, albumin has been studied as a marker of systemic inflammation in the context of cancer survival and so far results have shown that low levels of albumin and high levels of leukocytes are associated with worse cancer prognosis [86]. **Haptoglobin** is a positive acute-phase protein and its plasma levels increase during inflammatory processes such as infection, extreme stress, burns, major crush injury, or allergy. The full scope of the biological function of haptoglobin is not yet defined, however

Table 2. Epidemiological studies on glucose metabolism and cancer.

Publication	Study population	Study design	No. of subjects, follow-up	Exposure	Outcome	Main results	Adjustments
Parekh, 2013 [68]	The Framingham Offspring Cohort, USA, men and women, age 20+ years	Cohort	3707 without cancer, duration 37 years	Fasting serum glucose	Obesity-related cancers	HR: 1.57 (95% CI: 1.17–2.11) for fasting glucose >110 mg/dL versus lower (measured 20+ years prior to censoring time)	Adjusted for age, sex, alcohol, smoking, and BMI. Obesity-related cancers were defined as cancers of the gastrointestinal tract, reticuloendothelial systems, female reproductive tracts, genitourinary organs, and the thyroid gland. Similar increased risk for colon cancer
Boyle, 2013 [74]	USA, Austria, Sweden, Korea, Italy	Meta-analysis	Six cohort, three case control, one case cohort studies	Serum glucose	Breast cancer	Summary RR: 1.11 (95 % CI: 1.00–1.23)	P: 0 %
Friedenrich, 2012 [69]	Canada, women, mean age 59 (cases) and 59 (controls)	Case control	514 cases, 962 controls	Serum glucose	Endometrial cancer	OR: 1.26 (95% CI: 1.11–1.43) for every unit increase	Matched on age groups. Adjusted for age
Ulmer, 2012 [70]	Metabolic syndrome and cancer project (Me-Can), Austria, Norway, Sweden, women, mean age 44.1 years	Cohort	288274 without cancer, mean FU 11.3 years	Serum glucose	Cervical cancer	HR: 0.62 (95% CI: 0.20–1.96) for the highest versus lowest quintile	Stratified by centre, sex, and year of birth. Adjusted for age, smoking
Borena, 2011 [71]	Metabolic syndrome and cancer project (Me-Can), Austria, Norway, Sweden, men and women, mean age 43.9 (men) and 44.1 (women)	Cohort	406364 without cancer, mean FU 12.8 years (men) and 11.3 years (women)	Serum glucose	Liver cancer (primary)	RR: 2.38 (95% CI: 1.76–3.14) for every log unit increase	Stratified by cohort, birth year, and sex. Adjusted for age, smoking
Almquist, 2011 [73]	Metabolic syndrome and cancer project (Me-Can), Austria, Norway, Sweden, men and women, mean age 43.9 (men) and 44.1 (women)	Cohort	578700 without cancer, mean FU not specified	Serum glucose	Thyroid cancer	RR: 9.24 (95% CI: 1.46–59.6) in men, 0.16 (0.01–0.69) in women, for the highest versus lowest quintile	Stratified by cohort, age. Adjusted for BMI, smoking, age
Johansen, 2010 [72]	Metabolic syndrome and cancer project (Me-Can), Austria, Norway, Sweden, men and women, mean age 43.9 (men) and 44.1 (women)	Cohort	577315 without cancer, mean FU 12.8 years (men) and 12.8 years (female)	Serum glucose	Pancreatic cancer	RR: 2.05 (95% CI: 0.84–4.94) for the highest versus lowest quintile	Stratified by cohort, birth year. Adjusted for BMI, smoking, age

experimental studies have hypothesised that haptoglobin polymorphisms may contribute to increased oxidative stress and low-grade chronic inflammation [87, 88]. There is also observational evidence indicating that allergy (measured by **Immunoglobulin E** (IgE)), which is highly linked to inflammation, is associated with higher risk of solid tumours such as breast, prostate, and colorectum [9].

Findings in AMORIS

We have studied different immunological markers in relation to cancer risk [9, 13, 22]. One study was of particular interest, because it replicated the findings for one measurement of CRP and leukocytes with three repeated measurements [13]. When looking into specific major cancers including prostate, breast, lung, gastrointestinal, bladder, cervix, and skin cancer, a positive association was only seen for lung cancer. The lack of association between inflammatory markers and specific cancer risk was further shown when we investigated serum CRP, leukocytes, albumin, and haptoglobin in relation to prostate cancer [22]. We also assessed the association between total serum levels of IgE and cancer risk in 24,820 persons and found a weak inverse association between quartiles of IgE and cancer risk [9].

New epidemiological findings in the literature

A consistent association between serum CRP and cancer risk is corroborated by more recent findings (Table 3), as shown by a meta-analysis of 11 studies in Western populations showing an increased cancer risk for higher levels of CRP [89]. Similar findings were reported in Asian populations [90]. Results for specific cancers remain conflicting except for lung cancer, where a positive association with CRP and leukocytes has been reported. This is consistent with our findings seen in the AMORIS database [89, 91, 92]. Some evidence, although weaker, has been reported for colorectal, breast, ovarian, and liver cancer [93–97], whereas no association has been found for prostate and pancreatic cancers [98–100]. Regarding serum IgE, most observational studies confirmed an inverse association with risk of developing brain cancer, particularly glioma [101–104]. To date, little evidence exists for association with any other cancers.

Where are we today?

Although biological studies consistently link inflammation to carcinogenesis [105], the role of common serum inflammatory markers in predicting cancer risk still remains unclear. This may be partly because of the wide spectrum of inflammation, which is also an essential part of many pathologic conditions such as cancer and cardiovascular disease. The non-specificity of such cancer markers may explain the lack of associations found in observational studies, urging future studies to deploy novel methods to increase sensitivity of cancer prediction using these markers. Another possible explanation is the genetic variation of these markers, instead of their quantitative protein expression, that influences cancer development. This is supported by two recent studies suggesting different risk of colorectal cancer conferred by CRP polymorphisms [106, 107]. Additionally, these markers are usually analysed separately and a combined analysis may provide a better approximation with respect to early cancer detection, as it has shown in the case when combining scores of CRP with IL-8 [108] or haptoglobin with serum amyloid A (SAA) [109] in predicting lung cancer risk, and the ratio of reactive oxygen metabolites and CRP for colorectal cancer [110].

Liver metabolism

Selected biomarkers

Gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), is a central enzyme in the glutathione (GSH) metabolism, a ubiquitous antioxidant thiol, and plays an important role in maintaining tissue oxidant/antioxidant balance, cellular defence, proliferation, and protection against further oxidative stress [111]. The latter may explain its potential role in carcinogenesis, in addition to its links with type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular, and chronic kidney disease [112–115]. Elevated levels of GGT have been associated with poorer endometrial cancer prognosis, increased risk of progression of high-grade cervical dysplasia to invasive carcinoma [116], increased risk of breast cancer amongst premenopausal women [117], increased risk of cancer in men [118], increased risk of liver cancer [119] and it has been reported to play an independent role in the prediction of overall survival (OS) in metastatic colorectal carcinoma [120].

Table 3. Epidemiological studies on immune system and cancer.

Publication	Study population	Study design	No. of subjects, follow-up	Exposure	Outcome	Main results	Adjustments
Guo, 2013 [89]	USA, UK, Denmark, Sweden	Meta-analysis	194,796 total participants, 11459 cancer	CRP	Overall cancer	Summary RR: 1.11 (95% CI: 1.03–1.18)	$P_{\text{heterogeneity}} < 0.0001$, $I^2 = 70\%$
Lee, 2011 [90]	South Korea, men and women, mean age 55 in cases and 47 in non cases	Cross-sectional	80781 without cancer, mean FU	CRP	Overall cancer	OR: 1.94 (95% CI: 1.51–2.51) for CRP >3 versus $< 1 \text{ mg/L}$	Adjusted for age, sex, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, smoking, alcohol consumption, exercise, aspirin use, education level, and income
Xu, 2013 [92]	China, men and women, age 36–68 years	Case control	96 cases, 124 controls	CRP	Lung cancer	OR: 2.11 (95 % CI: 1.66–2.91) for highest quartile versus lowest	Adjusted for smoking, gender, height, age, race, BMI, education, occupation, and living place
Dossus, 2014 [93]	The E3N prospective cohort, France, women, born between 1925–1950	Case control	549 cases, 1040 controls	CRP	Postmenopausal breast cancer	OR: 1.24 (96% CI: 0.92–1.66) for CRP 2.5–10 mg/L versus $< 1.5 \text{ mg/L}$	Adjusted for matching variables: age at blood collection, menopausal status at blood collection, year of blood collection, centre of collection, and age at menopause
Toriola, 2013 [94]	Women's Health Initiative Observational Study (WHI-OS), USA, women, age 50–79 years	Case control	988 cases, 988 controls	CRP	Colorectal cancer	OR: 1.30 (0.93–1.82) for highest quintile versus lowest	Matched on age, race, centre, date of blood-draw, baseline hysterectomy status. Adjusted for age, BMI, hormone replacement therapy, previous colonoscopy, pack-years of smoking use
Toriola, 2013 [100]	the Kuopio Ischemic Heart Disease Risk Factor Study (KIHD), Finland, men, age 42–60 years	Cohort	203 free from cancer, mean FU 24 years	CRP	Prostate cancer	1.08 (95% CI: 0.74–1.60) for highest tertile versus lowest	Adjusted for age, examination year, socioeconomic status, alcohol consumption, energy intake, cardio-respiratory fitness, BMI and smoking
Toriola, 2011 [97]	The Finnish Maternity Cohort (FMC), Finland, women, mean age 28.6 (cases) and 28.7 (controls)	Case control	91 cases, 115 controls	CRP	Ovarian cancer	OR: 1.62 (0.93–2.83) for highest tertile versus lowest	Adjusted for age
Trabert, 2014 [95]	The Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial, USA, women, age 55–74 years	Case control	149 cases, 149 controls	CRP	Ovarian cancer	OR: 2.04 (1.06–3.93) for highest tertile versus lowest	Matched on age, race, study centre, time and date of blood collection. Adjusted for BMI, smoking, parity, duration of oral contraceptive use, and duration of menopausal hormone therapy use

Table 3. Continued.

Aleksandrova, 2014 [96]	The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC), Europe, men and women, 35–75 years	Case control	125 cases, 250 controls	CRP	Hepatocellular carcinoma	RR: 1.22 (1.02–1.46) per doubling of serum level	Matched on study center, sex, age, date of blood collection, fasting status, and time of blood collection. Women were additionally matched on menopausal status and exogenous hormone use. Adjusted for education, smoking, alcohol, diabetes, coffee, HBsAg/anti-HCV, BMI and waist to height ratio (WHR).
Bao, 2013 [98]	The Health Professionals Follow-up Study (HPFS), the Nurses' Health Study (NHS), the Physicians' Health Study I (PHS I), the Women's Health Initiative (WHI), the Women's Health Study (WHS), USA,	Case control	491 cases, 1137 controls	CRP	Pancreatic cancer	OR: 0.99 (0.98–1.01) for every unit increase	Matched on year of birth, prospective cohort (which concurrently matched on sex), smoking status, fasting status, and month of blood draw. Adjusted for race, history of diabetes, BMI, physical activity, current vitamin use, levels of vitamin D and C-peptide
Grote, 2012 [99]	The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC), Europe, men and women, 35–75 years	Case control	455 cases, 455 controls	CRP	Pancreatic cancer	OR: 1.01 (0.92–1.11) per doubling of serum level	Matched on recruitment centre, sex, age, date at entry, time between bloodsampling and last consumption of foods and drinks, hormone use. Adjusted for smoking and BMI
Calboli, 2011 [101]	The Health Professionals Follow-up Study (HPFS), the Nurses' Health Study (NHS), the Physicians' Health Study (PHS), the Women's Health Study (WHS), USA,	Case control	169 cases, 520 controls	Total IgE	Glioma	OR: 0.97 (0.88–1.07) for every unit increase	Matched on year of birth, cohort (which automatically matches the sex), month of blood collection, and ethnic background.
Schlehofer, 2011 [102]	The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC), Europe,	Case control	696 cases, 1188 controls	Allergen-specific IgE	Glioma	OR: 0.73 (0.51–1.06) for positive versus negative	Matched on study centre, sex, date of birth, age, date and time of blood collection, length of follow-up. Adjusted for education and smoking. Similar non statistically significant results for meningioma and schwannoma
Schwartzbaum, 2012 [103]	The Janus Serum Bank cohort, Norway, men and women, age 35–49 years	Case control	594 cases, 1177 cases	Allergen-specific IgE	Glioma	OR: 0.95 (0.75–1.22) for positive versus negative	Matched on two-year age interval, sex, and date of blood collection
Wiemels, 2011 [104]	USA, men and women, age 20–79 years	Case control	61 cases, 192 controls	Total IgE	Meningioma	OR: 0.85 (95% CI: 0.75–0.98	Matched on five-year age interval, sex, and state of residence. Adjusted for sex, race, smoking, age, education

Findings in AMORIS

We have investigated GGT serum levels in relation to cancer risk in 545,460 persons and found evidence of associations between elevated GGT and risk of developing different cancers. The strength of this association varied by levels of glucose which may suggest that hyperglycaemia can result in oxidative stress which in turn initiate damaging pathways of carcinogenesis [19].

New epidemiological findings in the literature

Since the last AMORIS publication, several studies have analysed the association between GGT and cancer risk and prognosis [121–128] (Table 4). All studies are in agreement with our findings in AMORIS and show that high levels of GGT are an indicator of elevated cancer risk and poor disease prognosis. Three studies showed that high pre-therapeutic levels of GGT are associated with advanced tumour stage and serve as an independent prognostic marker of poor prognosis in gynaecological cancers [122, 125, 126]. A case-cohort study in Taiwanese men showed that high levels of GGT were associated with risk of all-cause death, all cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) mortality [124]. Furthermore, another study analysing GGT and HCC prognosis showed that high levels of pre-treatment GGT were associated with reduced OS rates, when compared to those with normal pre-treatment GGT levels [121]. In addition, elevation of serum GGT levels was found to be an indicator of aggressive intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma behaviours and a predictor of poor clinical outcomes [127]. Interestingly, one study in Japanese adults found that GGT was only a predictor of cancer risk for alcohol-related cancers in current drinkers [123]. GGT has also been reported to play an independent role in the prediction of OS in metastatic colorectal carcinoma [120].

Finally, a meta-analysis by Long *et al* concluded that GGT predicts cardiovascular and cancer mortality [129], whereas Kunustor *et al* in their meta-analyses showed that baseline levels of GGT are positive independent predictors of overall cancer risk as well as for all-cause mortality [130, 131].

Where are we today?

Overall epidemiological evidence shows that high levels of GGT are associated with cancer risk and many experimental studies have intended to explain this link suggesting different biological mechanisms [132–136]. These pathways have been demonstrated for cancer specific sites which may be explained by the high variability present in cancer cells together with the effect of other factors, such as environment, drugs, and diet that could modify cancer cells phenotype including GGT expression [137].

Iron metabolism

Selected biomarkers

The iron metabolism is another pathway potentially linked with carcinogenesis. Iron plays a fundamental role in important biological processes in eukaryotic cells such as oxygen transport, cellular respiration, and redox reactions; consequently iron homeostasis is precisely regulated. Most **circulating iron** is bound to transferrin; the rest of iron is either serum-free iron or iron stored in cells bound to ferritin. **Total iron-binding capacity (TIBC)** measures the ability of plasma proteins to bind iron and reflects the fraction of transferring- free places to bound iron, meaning that low values of TIBC evidence transferrin saturation (TSAT) and consequently high iron stores in cells.

Different mechanisms of iron involvement in carcinogenesis have been suggested, including oxidative DNA damage by iron-catalysed free radical production, alterations in gene expression consistent with increased iron requirements in proliferating cells, as well as decreased immune surveillance against cancer [138]. Excess iron has been shown to promote protein and genomic alterations mirrored in human cancers [139] and this may occur via iron-induced persistent oxidative stress [139]. Moreover, iron sequestration machinery is activated by inflammatory processes associated with chronic diseases such as breast cancer for which cancer-associated anaemia is being broadly studied [140].

Table 4. Epidemiological studies on liver metabolisms and cancer.

Publication	Study population	Study design	No. of subjects, follow-up	Exposure	Outcome	Main results	Adjustments
Zhang et al 2011 [121]	Cancer registry	Cohort	277	GGT	Hepatocellular carcinoma prognosis	The one-year and three-year OS rates were 71.6 and 38.5% in patients with normal GGT and 48.8 and 16.9% in patients with high GGT ($P = 0.002$).	–
Yin et al 2013 [127]	Cancer registry	Cohort	411	GGT	Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma prognosis	GGT was an independent predictor of a poor prognosis (hazard ratio = 2.36, 95% confidence interval: 1.67–3.34, $P = 0.001$)	–
Tsuboya et al 2012 [123]	Ohsaki Cohort Study	Cohort	15 031	GGT	Overall cancer incidence	Highest quartile (GGT $\geq 31.0 \text{ IU/mL}$), the multivariate HR for any cancer was 1.28 (95% CI, 1.08–1.53; P for trend, <0.001), the HR for colorectal cancer was significantly greater than unity. This positive trend was observed only in current drinkers	Adjusted for age, sex, drinking habit, self-reported history of liver disease, smoking habit body mass index, education, exercise.
Seebacher et al 2012 [122]	Multicenter database	Multicenter trial	874	GGT	Endometrial Cancer prognosis	Elevated serum GGT levels ($P = 0.03$ and $P = 0.005$), tumour stage ($P < 0.001$ and $P < 0.001$), grade ($P < 0.001$ and $P = 0.02$) and age ($P < 0.001$ and $P < 0.001$) were independently associated with progression-free survival in univariate and multivariable survival analyses	Patients were stratified in GGT risk groups
Hofbauer et al 2014 [128]	Cancer registry	Cohort	921	GGT	Renal cell carcinoma prognosis	Gamma-glutamyltransferase levels increased with advancing T ($P < 0.001$), N ($P \leq 0.006$) and M stages ($P \leq 0.001$), higher grades ($P < 0.001$), and presence of tumour necrosis ($P \leq 0.001$). An increase of GGT by 10 UI 1 was associated with an increase in the risk of death from RCC by 4% (HR 1.04, $P < 0.001$).	Adjusted for T stage, N stage, M stage, Fuhrman grade, necrosis histologic subtype.
Hernaez et al 2013 [124]	MJ Health Study	Case-Cohort	3961	GGT	Hepatocellular carcinoma mortality	High levels of GGT were associated with cancer mortality (HR 1.8–2.8) and HCC mortality (HR 5.5–36.1).	Adjusted for age at baseline, body mass index, physical activity, smoking and alcohol use, education, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, HDL, C-reactive protein HBsAg

Table 4. Continued.

He et al 2013 [120]	Cancer registry	Cohort	239	GGT	Colorectal Carcinoma prognosis	GGT ($P < 0.001$) statistically significant prognostic factor of overall survival validated as independent predictor. On univariate analysis, GGT ($P < 0.001$) statistically significant predictive factor of progression-free survival (PFS) in patients having first-line chemotherapy	–
Grimm et al 2013 [125]	Cancer registry	Multicenter study	634	GGT	Ovarian cancer prognosis	High GGT serum levels were associated with advanced FIGO stage ($P < 0.001$) and with worse overall survival in univariate ($P < 0.001$) and multivariable analysis ($P = 0.02$, HR 1.2 (1.1–1.5))	Adjusted for continuous GGT values and survival
Edlinger et al 2013 [126]	Vorarlberg Health Monitoring and Promotion Programme	Sub-Cohort	318	GGT	Endometrial cancer prognosis	GGT associated with cancer-related mortality (HR = 3.35, 95% CI 1.12–10.03)	Adjusted for age, tumour-staging (FIGO) and histology, together with the examination year, body mass index, hypertension, triglycerides, total cholesterol, glucose.

Findings in AMORIS

Using a cohort of 220,642 participants with baseline measurements of serum iron, TIBC, and CRP, we found a positive association between TIBC (i.e. low TSAT) and the risk of overall and in particular colon cancer [5]. Serum iron, on the other hand, did not correlate with overall cancer risk, although a positive association with postmenopausal breast cancer was shown. These observations thus support a role of iron metabolism in relation to specific cancer risk.

New epidemiological findings in the literature

Only one recently published study focused on serum iron as a marker of the iron metabolism in the context of cancer risk. This cohort study of 309,443 men and women in Taiwan reported an increased risk of cancer in individuals with high serum iron [141]. Specific cancer analysis showed an increased risk of breast cancer for serum iron $\geq 140 \mu\text{g/dL}$ —hazard ratio (HR): 1.31 95%, confidence interval (CI): 1.01–1.70—compared to lower levels, which is similar to our findings for postmenopausal breast cancer. Other recent studies measured iron based on dietary intake subclassified as dietary heme iron, supplemental iron, and dietary intake of meat [142–145]. Dietary iron was assessed mainly using food frequency questionnaires and heme iron intake was usually determined indirectly by calculating a type-specific percentage of the total iron content in meat [144, 145]. Furthermore, a broad meta-analysis examining different cancer types in association with serum iron markers and dietary iron markers, found a negative association between cancer risk and levels of iron storage biomarkers, mostly with serum ferritin. Moreover, authors reported that a higher intake of heme iron showed a tendency towards a positive association with cancer risk [146]. Similar conclusions for dietary markers were obtained in a colorectal cancer meta-analysis, suggesting a significant positive association of heme iron intake and risk of colorectal cancer [147].

Where are we today?

Iron homeostasis is closely linked to anaemia, which impairs many physiological processes [148]. Considering the association between anaemia and mortality [149], it is possible that the positive association between serum iron and risk of cancer emerges as a consequence of other fatal diseases in persons with low levels of iron, thus removing them from the population at risk of developing cancer. Future research should address risks associated with different types of anaemia in addition to serum components of iron metabolism when assessing their link to cancer susceptibility.

Bone metabolism

Selected biomarkers

Components of bone metabolism have been indicated to be involved in carcinogenesis. Since calcium homeostasis is mainly influenced by vitamin D and parathyroid hormone instead of dietary calcium [150], the use of serum **calcium** could be useful in investigating the aetiology of cancer. Ionised serum calcium level is a direct measure of the amount of metabolically active serum calcium but is not routinely measured [151]. **Correction of total calcium levels based on serum albumin** is therefore used to obtain an estimate of the free ionised calcium level, since almost half of serum calcium is in protein-bound form and alteration of serum albumin may affect levels of free ionised calcium [150, 151]. **Inorganic phosphate** (Pi) is another dietary constituent well-known for its role in skeletal mineralisation, and normal levels of Pi are essential to maintain normal cellular function [152]. As a result, it has been suggested that Pi may act as an active regulator of growth rather than a merely compulsory element in cellular homeostasis. A particular link between calcium and gastrointestinal cancer has been suggested, since dietary calcium may activate calcium receptor and bind bile acids in gastrointestinal tract, in addition to the role of serum calcium in cellular metabolism [153, 154]. Recent studies also indicated that inorganic phosphate might be implicated in carcinogenesis, as high-inorganic phosphate diet has been linked to an increased development of lung and skin cancers [155, 156]. Abnormal levels of inorganic phosphate are thought to affect carcinogenesis by amplification of Akt signalling and 5' cap eukaryotic dependent translation [157, 158].

Findings in AMORIS

We investigated serum calcium in relation to risk of prostate and gastrointestinal cancer, and serum inorganic phosphate in relation to risk of overall and site-specific cancers [5, 17, 18, 20]. We found a weak negative association between calcium and prostate cancer, which was likely explained by a strong association between calcium and all-cause mortality. For gastrointestinal cancer, higher risks of oesophageal and colorectal cancer were linked to higher levels of albumin-corrected calcium in women, indicating the importance of calcium correction based on albumin levels. In men, a similar but weaker association was found. The study focusing on inorganic phosphate showed a positive association with risk of overall cancer in men, but an inverse association in women.

New epidemiological findings in the literature

In support of the above findings, another Swedish-based study showed a positive trend between levels of albumin-adjusted calcium and risk of prostate cancer in men [159] (Table 5). Similar findings with total and ionised serum calcium were reported when prostate cancer death was used as a surrogate outcome [160]. Nonetheless, an inverse association was observed in an Asian study [161]. No new studies have been published investigating the association between serum inorganic phosphate and risk of cancer.

Where are we today?

In clinical studies, the potential chemopreventive effects of calcium in cancer, particularly colorectal cancer, remain conflicting [162]. A recent dose-response meta-analysis showed an inverse association between dietary calcium, calcium supplementation, and risk of colorectal cancer [163]. However, the role of serum levels of calcium as well as its counterpart, serum inorganic phosphate, in relation to cancer prediction remains elusive. As bone metabolism is tightly regulated, abnormalities in calcium and phosphate levels may reflect a defect in bone regulation instead of dietary intake. Further clinical and observational studies exploring the potential roles of calcium and phosphate in cancer should take into account their regulators such as vitamin D, parathyroid hormone, and fibroblast growth factor 23 (FGF-23) [164, 165] in order to fully comprehend how they are involved in carcinogenesis.

Table 5. Epidemiological studies on bone metabolism and cancer.

Publication	Study population	Study design	No. of subjects, follow-up	Exposure	Outcome	Main results	Adjustments
Brandstedt, 2012 [159]	The Malmö Diet and Cancer Study cohort, Sweden, men, born in 1923–1945	Case control	943 cases, 943 controls	Serum total calcium	Prostate cancer	OR: 1.34 (0.78–1.39) for highest versus lowest quartile	Matched on BMI, educational level, alcohol consumption, and smoking.
Schwartz, 2012 [160]	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III (NHANES III), USA, age 18+	Cohort	6707 at baseline, 49 events, 1069327 person-months	Serum total calcium	Prostate cancer mortality	HR: 1.50 (95% CI: 1.04–2.17) for every unit increase	Adjusted for age and BMI, serum albumin, and serum 25-OHD and account for survey weights and the complex sampling design of NHANES III
Salem, 2013 [161]	Iran, men, mean age 71.1 (cases) and 66.5 (controls)	Case control	194 cases, 317 controls	Serum total calcium	Prostate cancer	OR: 0.27 (0.12–0.59) for or highest versus lowest tertile	Adjusted for age, body mass index, occupation, educational level, smoking, alcohol, family history of prostate cancer, and sex hormones. Similar results with albumin-corrected calcium

Conclusion

Overall, more recent observational studies supported previous findings obtained in AMORIS, although no new results have been reported for serum fructosamine and inorganic phosphate with respect to cancer risk. A drawback of using serum markers in predicting risk of cancer is its potential fluctuations following other pathological conditions, resulting in non-specificity and imprecision of associations observed. Utilisation of multiple combination markers may provide benefit from enhanced specificity in relation to cancer, as well as repeated or serial measurements instead of a single measurement. Associations with other diseases may also necessitate further analytical strategies addressing effects of serum metabolic markers on competing events in addition to cancer. Finally, delineating the role of serum metabolic markers may generate valuable information to complement emerging clinical studies on preventive effects of drugs and supplements targeting metabolic disorders against cancer.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Dr Ingmar Jungner, Prof Göran Walldius, and Prof Niklas Hammar from the Institute of Environmental Medicine at the Karolinska Institute (Stockholm) for having provided us with the amazing opportunity to study cancer development in the AMORIS database.

This research was supported by the Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre at King's College London, the Swedish Research Council for Health, Working Life and Welfare, and also by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre based at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the Swedish Research Council, the NIHR, or the Department of Health.

References

1. Jungner I et al (1998) **Apolipoprotein B and A-I values in 147576 Swedish males and females, standardized according to the World Health Organization-International Federation of Clinical Chemistry First International Reference Materials** *Clin Chem* **44**(8 Pt 1) 1641–9 PMID: [9702950](#)
2. Jungner I et al (2006) **Does low-density lipoprotein size add to atherogenic particle number in predicting the risk of fatal myocardial infarction?** *Am J Cardiol* **97**(7) 943–6 DOI: [10.1016/j.amjcard.2005.10.062](#) PMID: [16563891](#)
3. Jungner I et al (1992) **Apolipoprotein B and A-I in relation to serum cholesterol and triglycerides in 43,000 Swedish males and females** *Int J Clin Lab Res* **21**(3) 247–55 DOI: [10.1007/BF02591655](#) PMID: [1591376](#)
4. Walldius G et al (2001) **High apolipoprotein B, low apolipoprotein A-I, and improvement in the prediction of fatal myocardial infarction (AMORIS study): a prospective study** *Lancet* **358**(9298) 2026–33 DOI: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(01\)07098-2](#)
5. Gaur A et al (2013) **Iron metabolism and risk of cancer in the Swedish AMORIS study** *Cancer Causes Control* **24**(7) 1393–402 DOI: [10.1007/s10552-013-0219-8](#) PMID: [23649231](#) PMCID: [3675271](#)
6. Melvin JC et al (2012) **Gamma-glutamyl transferase and C-reactive protein as alternative markers of metabolic abnormalities and their associated comorbidities: a prospective cohort study** *Int J Mol Epidemiol Genet* **3**(4) 276–85 PMID: [23205179](#) PMCID: [3508539](#)
7. Melvin JC et al (2012) **Lipid profiles and risk of breast and ovarian cancer in the Swedish AMORIS study** *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **21**(8) 1381–4 DOI: [10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-12-0188](#) PMID: [22593241](#)
8. Seth D et al (2012) **Lipid profiles and the risk of endometrial cancer in the Swedish AMORIS study** *Int J Mol Epidemiol Genet* **3**(2) 122–33 PMID: [22724049](#) PMCID: [3376923](#)
9. Van Hemelrijck M et al (2010) **Immunoglobulin E and cancer: a meta-analysis and a large Swedish cohort study** *Cancer Causes Control* **21**(10) 1657–67 DOI: [10.1007/s10552-010-9594-6](#) PMID: [20533084](#)

10. Van Hemelrijck M et al (2012) **The interplay between lipid profiles, glucose, BMI and risk of kidney cancer in the Swedish AMORIS study** *Int J Cancer* **130**(9) 2118–28 DOI: [10.1002/ijc.26212](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.26212)
11. Van Hemelrijck M et al (2010) **Prostate cancer risk in the Swedish AMORIS study: the interplay among triglycerides, total cholesterol, and glucose** *Cancer* **117**(10) 2086–95 DOI: [10.1002/cncr.25758](https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.25758)
12. Van Hemelrijck M et al (2012) **Biomarker-based score to predict mortality in persons aged 50 years and older: a new approach in the Swedish AMORIS study** *Int J Mol Epidemiol Genet* **3**(1) 66–76 PMID: [22493753](#) PMCID: [3316450](#)
13. Van Hemelrijck M et al (2011) **Association between levels of C-reactive protein and leukocytes and cancer: three repeated measurements in the Swedish AMORIS study** *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **20**(3) 428–37 DOI: [10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-1190](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-1190) PMID: [21297038](#) PMCID: [3078551](#)
14. Van Hemelrijck M et al (2011) **Low levels of apolipoprotein A-I and HDL are associated with risk of prostate cancer in the Swedish AMORIS study** *Cancer Causes Control* **22**(7) 1011–9 DOI: [10.1007/s10552-011-9774-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-011-9774-z) PMID: [21562751](#)
15. Wulaningsih W et al (2012) **Serum Lipids and the Risk of Gastrointestinal Malignancies in the Swedish AMORIS Study** *J Cancer Epidemiol* **792034** PMID: [22969802](#) PMCID: [3437288](#)
16. Wulaningsih W et al (2013) **Serum glucose and fructosamine in relation to risk of cancer** *PLoS One* **8**(1) e54944 DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0054944](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054944) PMID: [23372798](#) PMCID: [3556075](#)
17. Wulaningsih W et al (2013) **Inorganic phosphate and the risk of cancer in the Swedish AMORIS study** *BMC Cancer* **13** 257 DOI: [10.1186/1471-2407-13-257](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-13-257) PMID: [23706176](#) PMCID: [3664604](#)
18. Wulaningsih W et al (2013) **Serum calcium and risk of gastrointestinal cancer in the Swedish AMORIS study** *BMC Public Health* **13**(1) 663 DOI: [10.1186/1471-2458-13-663](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-663) PMID: [23866097](#) PMCID: [3729677](#)
19. Van Hemelrijck M et al (2011) **Gamma-glutamyltransferase and risk of cancer in a cohort of 545,460 persons – the Swedish AMORIS study** *Eur J Cancer* **47**(13) 2033–41 DOI: [10.1016/j.ejca.2011.03.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2011.03.010) PMID: [21486691](#)
20. Van Hemelrijck M et al (2012) **Serum calcium and incident and fatal prostate cancer in the Swedish AMORIS study** *Cancer Causes Control* **23**(8) 1349–58 DOI: [10.1007/s10552-012-0015-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-012-0015-x) PMID: [22710746](#)
21. Lambe M et al (2011) **Impaired glucose metabolism and diabetes and the risk of breast, endometrial, and ovarian cancer** *Cancer Causes Control* **22**(8) 1163–71 DOI: [10.1007/s10552-011-9794-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-011-9794-8) PMID: [21688131](#)
22. Van Hemelrijck M et al (2011) **Risk of prostate cancer is not associated with levels of C-reactive protein and other commonly used markers of inflammation** *Int J Cancer* **129**(6) 1485–92 DOI: [10.1002/ijc.25773](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.25773) PMID: [21792885](#)
23. Hager MH, Solomon KR and Freeman MR (2006) **The role of cholesterol in prostate cancer** *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* **9**(4) 379–85 DOI: [10.1097/01.mco.0000232896.66791.62](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.mco.0000232896.66791.62) PMID: [16778565](#)
24. Sniderman AD and Faraj M (2007) **Apolipoprotein B, apolipoprotein A-I, insulin resistance and the metabolic syndrome** *Curr Opin Lipidol* **18**(6) 633–7 DOI: [10.1097/MOL.0.b013e3282f0dd33](https://doi.org/10.1097/MOL.0.b013e3282f0dd33) PMID: [17993808](#)
25. Guo S, Wang Y, Zhou D and Li Z (2014) **Significantly increased monounsaturated lipids relative to polyunsaturated lipids in six types of cancer microenvironment are observed by mass spectrometry imaging** *Sci Rep* **4** 5959 PMID: [25091112](#) PMCID: [4121604](#)
26. Van de Sande T et al (2005) **High-level expression of fatty acid synthase in human prostate cancer tissues is linked to activation and nuclear localization of Akt/PKB** *J Pathol* **206**(2) 214–9 DOI: [10.1002/path.1760](https://doi.org/10.1002/path.1760) PMID: [15880754](#)
27. Yang Y et al (2011) **Role of fatty acid synthase in gemcitabine and radiation resistance of pancreatic cancers** *Int J Biochem Mol Biol* **2**(1) 89–98 PMID: [21331354](#) PMCID: [3039422](#)

28. Sebastiani V et al **Fatty acid synthase is a marker of increased risk of recurrence in endometrial carcinoma** *Gynecol Oncol* **92**(1) 101–5 PMID: [14751145](#)
29. Murai T (2014) **Cholesterol lowering: role in cancer prevention and treatment.** *Biol Chem* **396**(1) 1–11 DOI: [10.1515/hsz-2014-0194](#) PMID: [25205720](#)
30. Peck B and Schulze A (2014) **Cholesteryl esters: fueling the fury of prostate cancer** *Cell Metabol* **19**(3) 350–2 DOI: [10.1016/j.cmet.2014.02.012](#)
31. Krycer JR and Brown AJ (2013) **Cholesterol accumulation in prostate cancer: a classic observation from a modern perspective** *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1835**(2) 219–29 PMID: [23357067](#)
32. Dos Santos CR et al (2014) **LDL-cholesterol signaling induces breast cancer proliferation and invasion** *Lipids Health Dis* **13** 16 DOI: [10.1186/1476-511X-13-16](#) PMID: [24428917](#) PMCID: [3896822](#)
33. Yue S and Li J et al (2014) **Cholesteryl ester accumulation induced by PTEN loss and PI3K/AKT activation underlies human prostate cancer aggressiveness** *Cell Metabol* **19**(3) 393–406 DOI: [10.1016/j.cmet.2014.01.019](#)
34. Majumder PK and Sellers WR (2005) **Akt-regulated pathways in prostate cancer** *Oncogene* **24**(50) 7465–74 DOI: [10.1038/sj.onc.1209096](#) PMID: [16288293](#)
35. Kitahara CM et al (2011) **Total cholesterol and cancer risk in a large prospective study in Korea** *J Clinic Oncol* **29**(12) 1592–8 DOI: [10.1200/JCO.2010.31.5200](#)
36. Kok DE et al (2011) **Blood lipid levels and prostate cancer risk; a cohort study** *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis* **14**(4) 340–5 DOI: [10.1038/pcan.2011.30](#) PMID: [21727905](#)
37. Mondul AM et al (2011) **Serum total and HDL cholesterol and risk of prostate cancer** *Cancer Causes Control* **22**(11) 1545–52 DOI: [10.1007/s10552-011-9831-7](#) PMID: [21915616](#) PMCID: [3500884](#)
38. Shafique K et al (2012) **Cholesterol and the risk of grade-specific prostate cancer incidence: evidence from two large prospective cohort studies with up to 37 years' follow up** *BMC Cancer* **12** 25 DOI: [10.1186/1471-2407-12-25](#) PMID: [22260413](#) PMCID: [3271031](#)
39. Haggstrom C et al (2012) **Prospective study on metabolic factors and risk of prostate cancer** *Cancer* **118**(24) 6199–206 DOI: [10.1002/cncr.27677](#) PMID: [23090855](#)
40. Jacobs EJ et al (2012) **Plasma total, LDL, and HDL cholesterol and risk of aggressive prostate cancer in the Cancer Prevention Study II Nutrition Cohort** *Cancer Causes Control* **23**(8) 1289–96 DOI: [10.1007/s10552-012-0006-y](#) PMID: [22692409](#)
41. Agnoli C et al (2014) **Colorectal cancer risk and dyslipidemia: a case-cohort study nested in an Italian multicentre cohort** *Cancer Epidemiol* **38**(2) 144–51 DOI: [10.1016/j.canep.2014.02.002](#) PMID: [24636241](#)
42. Kim HS et al (2013) [Prevalence and risk factors of colorectal adenoma in 14,932 koreans undergoing screening colonoscopy] *Korean J Gastroenterol* **62**(2) 104–10 DOI: [10.4166/kjg.2013.62.2.104](#) PMID: [23981944](#)
43. Van Duijnhoven FJ et al (2011) **Blood lipid and lipoprotein concentrations and colorectal cancer risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition** *Gut* **60**(8) 1094–102 DOI: [10.1136/gut.2010.225011](#) PMID: [21383385](#)
44. Hu NC et al **Stepwise relationship between components of metabolic syndrome and risk of colorectal adenoma in a Taiwanese population receiving screening colonoscopy** *J Formos Med Assoc* **110**(2) 100–8 PMID: [21377064](#)
45. Aleksandrova K et al (2011) **Metabolic syndrome and risks of colon and rectal cancer: the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition study** *Cancer Prev Res* **4**(11) 1873–83 DOI: [10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-11-0218](#)
46. Stocks T et al (2011) **Metabolic factors and the risk of colorectal cancer in 580,000 men and women in the metabolic syndrome and cancer project (Me-Can)** *Cancer* **117**(11) 2398–407 DOI: [10.1002/cncr.25772](#) PMID: [24048787](#)

47. Agnoli C et al (2010) **Metabolic syndrome and postmenopausal breast cancer in the ORDET cohort: a nested case-control study** *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* **20**(1) 41–8 DOI: [10.1016/j.numecd.2009.02.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2009.02.006) PMID: [2819536](#)
48. Wu Q et al (2012) **Metabolic syndrome components and risk factors for pancreatic adenocarcinoma: a case-control study in China** *Digestion* **86**(4) 294–301 DOI: [10.1159/000341397](https://doi.org/10.1159/000341397) PMID: [23095334](#)
49. His M et al (2014) **Prospective associations between serum biomarkers of lipid metabolism and overall, breast and prostate cancer risk** *Eur J Epidemiol* **29**(2) 119–32 DOI: [10.1007/s10654-014-9884-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-014-9884-5) PMID: [24519551](#)
50. Bjorke T et al (2011) **Metabolic risk factors and ovarian cancer in the Metabolic Syndrome and Cancer project** *Int J Epidemiol* **40**(6) 1667–77 DOI: [10.1093/ije/dyr130](https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyr130) PMID: [21984693](#)
51. Jiang R et al **Elevated apolipoprotein A-I levels are associated with favorable prognosis in metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma** *Med Oncol* **31**(8) 80 PMID: [25023050](#)
52. Rennehan AG et al (2008) **Body-mass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies** *Lancet* **371**(9612) 569–78 DOI: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(08\)60269-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60269-X) PMID: [18280327](#)
53. Moon H et al (2014) **Statins: protectors or pretenders in prostate cancer?** *Trends Endocrinol Metab* **25**(4) 188–96 DOI: [10.1016/j.tem.2013.12.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2013.12.007) PMID: [24462080](#)
54. Lochhead P and Chan AT (2013) **Statins and colorectal cancer** *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* **11**(2) 109–18 quiz e13–4. DOI: [10.1016/j.cgh.2012.08.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2012.08.037) PMID: [3703461](#)
55. Li X et al (2014) **Fatostatin displays high antitumor activity in prostate cancer by blocking SREBP-regulated metabolic pathways and androgen receptor signaling** *Mol Cancer Therap* **13**(4) 855–66 DOI: [10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-13-0797](https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-13-0797)
56. Jacobs EJ and Gapstur SM (2009) **Cholesterol and cancer: answers and new questions** *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **18**(11) 2805–6 DOI: [10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-09-1027](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-09-1027) PMID: [19887583](#)
57. Millan J et al (2009) **Lipoprotein ratios: Physiological significance and clinical usefulness in cardiovascular prevention** *Vasc Health Risk Manag* **5** 757–65 PMID: [19774217](#) PMID: [2747394](#)
58. Pintilie M (2007) **Analysing and interpreting competing risk data** *Stat Med* **26**(6) 1360–7 DOI: [10.1002/sim.2655](https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.2655)
59. Grote VA, Becker S and Kaaks R (2010) **Diabetes mellitus type 2 – an independent risk factor for cancer?** *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes* **118**(1) 4–8 DOI: [10.1055/s-0029-1243193](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0029-1243193) PMID: [20127570](#)
60. True MW (2009) **Circulating biomarkers of glycemia in diabetes management and implications for personalized medicine** *J Diabetes Sci Technol* **3**(4) 743–7 PMID: [2769973](#)
61. Novosyadly R et al (2010) **Insulin-mediated acceleration of breast cancer development and progression in a nonobese model of type 2 diabetes** *Cancer Res* **70**(2) 741–51 DOI: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-2141](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-2141) PMID: [20068149](#) PMID: [2946167](#)
62. Gallagher EJ, LeRoith D and Minireview **IGF, Insulin, and Cancer** *Endocrinol* **152**(7) 2546–51
63. Sparvero LJ et al (2009) **RAGE (Receptor for Advanced Glycation Endproducts), RAGE ligands, and their role in cancer and inflammation** *J Transl Med* **7** 17 DOI: [10.1186/1479-5876-7-17](https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5876-7-17) PMID: [19292913](#) PMID: [2666642](#)
64. Kasper JS and Giovannucci E (2006) **A meta-analysis of diabetes mellitus and the risk of prostate cancer** *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **15**(11) 2056–62 DOI: [10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-06-0410](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-06-0410) PMID: [17119028](#)
65. Hammarsten J and Hogstedt B (2005) **Hyperinsulinaemia: a prospective risk factor for lethal clinical prostate cancer** *Eur J Cancer* **41**(18) 2887–95 DOI: [10.1016/j.ejca.2005.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2005.09.003) PMID: [16243513](#)
66. Stocks T et al (2007) **Insulin resistance is inversely related to prostate cancer: a prospective study in Northern Sweden** *Int J Cancer* **120**(12) 2678–86 DOI: [10.1002/ijc.22587](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.22587) PMID: [17278097](#)

67. Deming SL et al (2012) Melatonin pathway genes and breast cancer risk among Chinese women *Breast Cancer Res Treat* **132**(2) 693–9 DOI: [10.1007/s10549-011-1884-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-011-1884-5)
68. Parekh N et al (2013) Metabolic dysregulation of the insulin-glucose axis and risk of obesity-related cancers in the Framingham heart study-offspring cohort (1971–2008) *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **22**(10) 1825–36 DOI: [10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-13-0330](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-13-0330) PMID: [24064521](#)
69. Friedenreich CM et al (2012) Case-control study of markers of insulin resistance and endometrial cancer risk *Endocr Relat Cancer* **19**(6) 785–92 DOI: [10.1530/ERC-12-0211](https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-12-0211)
70. Ulmer H et al (2012) Metabolic risk factors and cervical cancer in the metabolic syndrome and cancer project (Me-Can) *Gynecol Oncol* **125**(2) 330–5 DOI: [10.1016/j.ygyno.2012.01.052](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2012.01.052) PMID: [22330614](#)
71. Borena W et al (2012) Metabolic risk factors and primary liver cancer in a prospective study of 578,700 adults *Int J Cancer* **131**(1) 193–200 DOI: [10.1002/ijc.26338](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.26338)
72. Johansen D et al (2010) Metabolic factors and the risk of pancreatic cancer: a prospective analysis of almost 580,000 men and women in the Metabolic Syndrome and Cancer Project *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **19**(9) 2307–17 DOI: [10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-0234](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-0234) PMID: [20826833](#)
73. Almquist M et al (2011) Metabolic factors and risk of thyroid cancer in the Metabolic syndrome and Cancer project (Me-Can) *Cancer Causes Control* **22**(5) 743–51 DOI: [10.1007/s10552-011-9747-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-011-9747-2) PMID: [21380729](#)
74. Boyle P et al (2013) Blood glucose concentrations and breast cancer risk in women without diabetes: a meta-analysis *Eur J Nutr* **52**(5) 1533–40 DOI: [10.1007/s00394-012-0460-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-012-0460-z)
75. Giovannucci E et al (2010) Diabetes and cancer: a consensus report *Diabetes Care* **33**(7) 1674–85 DOI: [10.2337/dc10-0666](https://doi.org/10.2337/dc10-0666) PMID: [20587728](#) PMCID: [2890380](#)
76. Zhang ZJ et al (2014) Reduced risk of lung cancer with metformin therapy in diabetic patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis *Am J Epidemiol* **180**(1) 11–4 DOI: [10.1093/aje/kwu124](https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwu124) PMID: [24920786](#)
77. Singh S et al (2013) Antidiabetic medications and the risk of colorectal cancer in patients with diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **22**(12) 2258–68 DOI: [10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-13-0429](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-13-0429) PMID: [24042261](#)
78. Noto H et al (2012) Cancer risk in diabetic patients treated with metformin: a systematic review and meta-analysis *PLoS One* **7**(3) e33411 DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0033411](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0033411) PMID: [22448244](#) PMCID: [3308971](#)
79. Hosono K et al (2010) Metformin suppresses azoxymethane-induced colorectal aberrant crypt foci by activating AMP-activated protein kinase *Mol Carcinog* **49**(7) 662–71 DOI: [10.1002/mc.20637](https://doi.org/10.1002/mc.20637) PMID: [20564343](#)
80. Kabat GC et al (2009) Repeated measures of serum glucose and insulin in relation to postmenopausal breast cancer *Int J Cancer* **125**(11) 2704–10 DOI: [10.1002/ijc.24609](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.24609) PMID: [19588485](#)
81. Schafer M and Werner S (2008) Cancer as an overhealing wound: an old hypothesis revisited *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **9**(8) 628–38 DOI: [10.1038/nrm2455](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2455) PMID: [18628784](#)
82. Grivennikov SI, Greten FR and Karin M (2010) Immunity, inflammation, and cancer *Cell* **140**(6) 883–99 DOI: [10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.025) PMID: [20303878](#) PMCID: [2866629](#)
83. Han Y et al (2011) Prognostic role of C-reactive protein in breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis *Intl J Biol Markers* **26**(4) 209–15
84. Hu Q et al (2014) The prognostic value of C-reactive protein in renal cell carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis *Urol Oncol* **32**(1) 50 e1–8 DOI: [10.1016/j.urolonc.2013.07.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urolonc.2013.07.016)

85. Chen M et al (2013) Systematic review and meta-analysis of tumor biomarkers in predicting prognosis in esophageal cancer *BMC Cancer* **13**(1) 539 DOI: [10.1186/1471-2407-13-539](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-13-539) PMID: [24206575](#) PMCID: [3828582](#)
86. McMillan DC (2009) Systemic inflammation, nutritional status and survival in patients with cancer *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* **12**(3) 223–6 DOI: [10.1097/MCO.0b013e32832a7902](https://doi.org/10.1097/MCO.0b013e32832a7902) PMID: [19318937](#)
87. Ye B et al (2003) Haptoglobin-alpha subunit as potential serum biomarker in ovarian cancer: identification and characterization using proteomic profiling and mass spectrometry *Clin Cancer Res* **9**(8) 2904–11 PMID: [12912935](#)
88. Alvarez-Blasco F et al (2009) Role of haptoglobin in polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), obesity and disorders of glucose tolerance in premenopausal women *PLoS One* 2009; **4**(5):e5606. DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0005606](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005606)
89. Guo YZ et al (2013) Association between C-reactive protein and risk of cancer: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* **14**(1) 243–8 DOI: [10.7314/APJCP.2013.14.1.243](https://doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2013.14.1.243) PMID: [23534731](#)
90. Lee S et al (2011) High-sensitivity C-reactive protein and cancer *J Epidemiol* **21**(3) 161–8 DOI: [10.2188/jea.JE20100128](https://doi.org/10.2188/jea.JE20100128) PMID: [21368452](#) PMCID: [3899404](#)
91. Shiels MS et al (2013) Circulating inflammation markers and prospective risk for lung cancer *J Natl Cancer Inst* **105**(24) 1871–80 DOI: [10.1093/jnci/djt309](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djt309) PMID: [24249745](#) PMCID: [3888091](#)
92. Xu M et al (2013) Serum C-reactive protein and risk of lung cancer: a case-control study *Med Oncol* **30**(1) 319 DOI: [10.1007/s12032-012-0319-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12032-012-0319-4)
93. Dossus L et al (2013) C-reactive protein and postmenopausal breast cancer risk: results from the E3N cohort study *Cancer Causes Control* **25**(4) 533–9 PMID: [24504436](#)
94. Toriola AT et al (2013) Biomarkers of inflammation are associated with colorectal cancer risk in women but are not suitable as early detection markers *Int J Cancer* **132**(11) 2648–58 DOI: [10.1002/ijc.27942](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.27942) PMCID: [3609926](#)
95. Trabert B et al (2014) Pre-diagnostic serum levels of inflammation markers and risk of ovarian cancer in the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal and Ovarian Cancer (PLCO) Screening Trial *Gynecol Oncol* DOI: [10.1016/j.ygyno.2014.08.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2014.08.025) PMID: [25158036](#) PMCID: [4254357](#)
96. Aleksandrova K et al (2014) Inflammatory and metabolic biomarkers and risk of liver and biliary tract cancer *Hepatology* **60**(3) 858–71 DOI: [10.1002/hep.27016](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.27016) PMID: [24443059](#) PMCID: [4231978](#)
97. Toriola AT et al (2011) Changes in pre-diagnostic serum C-reactive protein concentrations and ovarian cancer risk: a longitudinal study *Ann Oncol* **22**(8) 1916–21 DOI: [10.1093/annonc/mdq694](https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdq694) PMID: [21292643](#)
98. Bao Y et al (2013) Inflammatory plasma markers and pancreatic cancer risk: a prospective study of five U.S. cohorts *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **22**(5) 855–61 DOI: [10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-12-1458](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-12-1458) PMID: [23462920](#) PMCID: [3650127](#)
99. Grote VA et al (2012) Inflammation marker and risk of pancreatic cancer: a nested case-control study within the EPIC cohort *Br J Cancer* **106**(11) 1866–74 PMID: [22617158](#) PMCID: [3364108](#)
100. Toriola AT et al (2013) Prediagnostic circulating markers of inflammation and risk of prostate cancer *Int J Cancer* **133**(12) 2961–7 PMID: [23754532](#)
101. Calboli FC et al (2011) Prediagnostic plasma IgE levels and risk of adult glioma in four prospective cohort studies *J Natl Cancer Inst* **103**(21) 1588–95 DOI: [10.1093/jnci/djr361](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djr361) PMID: [22010181](#) PMCID: [3206038](#)
102. Schlehofer B et al (2011) Primary brain tumours and specific serum immunoglobulin E: a case-control study nested in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition cohort *Allergy* **66**(11) 1434–41 DOI: [10.1111/j.1398-9995.2011.02670.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1398-9995.2011.02670.x) PMID: [21726235](#)
103. Schwartzbaum J et al (2012) Association between prediagnostic IgE levels and risk of glioma *J Natl Cancer Inst* **104**(16) 1251–9 DOI: [10.1093/jnci/djs315](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djs315) PMID: [22855780](#) PMCID: [3424222](#)

104. Wiemels JL *et al* (2011) **Reduced allergy and immunoglobulin E among adults with intracranial meningioma compared to controls** *Int J Cancer* **129**(8) 1932–9 DOI: [10.1002/ijc.25858](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.25858) PMID: [21520030](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21520030/) PMCID: [3337969](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3337969/)
105. Hanahan D and Weinberg RA (2011) **Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation** *Cell* **144**(5) 646–74 DOI: [10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013) PMID: [21376230](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21376230/)
106. Prizment AE *et al* (2013) **Plasma C-reactive protein, genetic risk score, and risk of common cancers in the atherosclerosis risk in communities study** *Cancer Causes Control* **24**(12) 2077–87 DOI: [10.1007/s10552-013-0285-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-013-0285-y) PMID: [24036889](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24036889/) PMCID: [3836434](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3836434/)
107. Su HX *et al* (2014) **Mutations of C-reactive protein (CRP) -286 SNP, APC and p53 in colorectal cancer: implication for a CRP-Wnt crosstalk** *PLoS One* **9**(7) e102418 DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0102418](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102418) PMID: [25025473](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25025473/) PMCID: [4099363](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/4099363/)
108. Pine SR *et al* (2011) **Increased levels of circulating interleukin 6, interleukin 8, C-reactive protein, and risk of lung cancer** *J Natl Cancer Inst* **103**(14) 1112–22 DOI: [10.1093/jnci/djr216](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djr216) PMID: [21685357](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21685357/) PMCID: [3139587](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3139587/)
109. Dowling P *et al* (2012) **Analysis of acute-phase proteins, AHSG, C3, CLI, HP and SAA, reveals distinctive expression patterns associated with breast, colorectal and lung cancer** *Int J Cancer* **131**(4) 911–23 DOI: [10.1002/ijc.26462](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.26462)
110. Aleksandrova K *et al* (2014) **Biomarker patterns of inflammatory and metabolic pathways are associated with risk of colorectal cancer: results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)** *Eur J Epidemiol* **29**(4) 261–75. DOI: [10.1007/s10654-014-9901-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-014-9901-8) PMID: [24791703](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24791703/)
111. Choi J, Liu RM and Forman HJ (1997) **Adaptation to oxidative stress: quinone-mediated protection of signaling in rat lung epithelial L2 cells** *Biochem Pharmacol* **53**(7) 987–93 DOI: [10.1016/S0006-2952\(96\)00867-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-2952(96)00867-2) PMID: [9174112](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9174112/)
112. Targher G (2010) **Elevated serum gamma-glutamyltransferase activity is associated with increased risk of mortality, incident type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular events, chronic kidney disease and cancer – a narrative review** *Clin Chem Lab Med* **48**(2) 147–57 DOI: [10.1515/CCLM.2010.031](https://doi.org/10.1515/CCLM.2010.031)
113. Strasak AM *et al* (2010) **Prospective study of the association of serum gamma-glutamyltransferase with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia III and invasive cervical cancer** *Cancer Res* **70**(9) 3586–93 DOI: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3197](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3197) PMID: [20388786](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20388786/)
114. Strasak AM *et al* (2008) **Prospective study of the association of gamma-glutamyltransferase with cancer incidence in women** *Int J Cancer* **123**(8) 1902–6 DOI: [10.1002/ijc.23714](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.23714) PMID: [18688855](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18688855/)
115. Strasak AM *et al* (2008) **Association of gamma-glutamyltransferase and risk of cancer incidence in men: a prospective study** *Cancer Res* **68**(10) 3970–7 DOI: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-6686](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-6686) PMID: [18483283](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18483283/)
116. Fentiman I (2012) **Gamma-glutamyl transferase: risk and prognosis of cancer** *Br J Cancer* **106**(9) 1467 DOI: [10.1038/bjc.2012.128](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2012.128) PMID: [22531718](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22531718/) PMCID: [3341856](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3341856/)
117. Fentiman I and Allen D (2010) **γ -Glutamyl transferase and breast cancer risk** *Br J Cancer* **103**(1) 90–3 DOI: [10.1038/sj.bjc.6605719](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6605719) PMID: [20517309](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20517309/) PMCID: [2905293](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2905293/)
118. Strasak AM *et al* (2008) **Association of γ -glutamyltransferase and risk of cancer incidence in men: a prospective study** *Cancer Res* **68**(10) 3970–7 DOI: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-6686](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-6686) PMID: [18483283](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18483283/)
119. Hu G *et al* (2008) **Joint effects of coffee consumption and serum gamma-glutamyltransferase on the risk of liver cancer** *Hepatology* **48**(1) 129–36 DOI: [10.1002/hep.22320](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.22320) PMID: [18537182](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18537182/)
120. He W-z *et al* (2013) **Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase level is a novel adverse prognostic indicator in human metastatic colorectal cancer** *Colorectal Dis* **15**(8) e443–e52. DOI: [10.1111/codi.12258](https://doi.org/10.1111/codi.12258) PMID: [23621885](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23621885/)
121. Zhang J-B *et al* (2011) **Prognostic significance of serum gamma-glutamyl transferase in patients with intermediate hepatocellular carcinoma treated with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization** *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* **23**(9) 787–93 DOI: [10.1097/MEG.0b013e32834902dd](https://doi.org/10.1097/MEG.0b013e32834902dd) PMID: [21730869](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21730869/)

122. Seebacher V et al (2012) **Prognostic significance of gamma-glutamyltransferase in patients with endometrial cancer: a multi-centre trial** *Br J Cancer* **106**(9) 1551–5 DOI: [10.1038/bjc.2012.16](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2012.16) PMID: [22315051](#) PMCID: [3341855](#)
123. Tsuboya T et al (2012) **Gamma-glutamyltransferase and cancer incidence: the Ohsaki Cohort Study** *J Epidemiol* **22**(2) 144 DOI: [10.2188/jea.JE20110071](https://doi.org/10.2188/jea.JE20110071) PMID: [22277791](#) PMCID: [3798593](#)
124. Hernaez R et al (2013) **Elevated ALT and GGT predict all-cause mortality and hepatocellular carcinoma in Taiwanese male: a case-cohort study** *Hepatology Intl* **7**(4) 1040–9 DOI: [10.1007/s12072-013-9476-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12072-013-9476-6)
125. Grimm C et al (2013) **Association of gamma-glutamyltransferase with severity of disease at diagnosis and prognosis of ovarian cancer** *Br J Cancer* **109**(3) 610–4 DOI: [10.1038/bjc.2013.323](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2013.323) PMID: [23921280](#) PMCID: [3738124](#)
126. Edlinger M et al (2013) **Lifestyle-related biomarkers and endometrial cancer survival: Elevated gamma-glutamyltransferase as an important risk factor** *Cancer Epidemiol* **37**(2) 156–61 DOI: [10.1016/j.canep.2012.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canep.2012.12.003) PMID: [23295001](#)
127. Yin X et al (2013) **Elevation of serum c-glutamyltransferase as a predictor of aggressive tumor behaviors and unfavorable prognosis in patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: analysis of a large monocenter study** *25*(12) 1408–14 PMID: [23839159](#)
128. Hofbauer SL et al (2014) **Pretherapeutic gamma-glutamyltransferase is an independent prognostic factor for patients with renal cell carcinoma** *Br J Cancer* **111**(8) 1526–31 DOI: [10.1038/bjc.2014.450](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2014.450) PMID: [25117808](#) PMCID: [4200090](#)
129. Long Y et al (2014) **Gamma-glutamyltransferase predicts increased risk of mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies** *Free radical research* **48**(6) 716–28 PMID: [24684379](#)
130. Kunutsor SK et al (2014) **Gamma glutamyltransferase, alanine aminotransferase and risk of cancer: Systematic review and meta-analysis** *Intl J Cancer* **136**(5) 1162–70 DOI: [10.1002/ijc.29084](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.29084)
131. Kunutsor SK et al (2014) **Liver enzymes and risk of all-cause mortality in general populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis** *Intl J Epidemiol* **43**(1) 187–201 DOI: [10.1093/ije/dyt192](https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyt192)
132. Daubeuf S et al (2002) **Enhanced resistance of HeLa cells to cisplatin by overexpression of gamma-glutamyltransferase** *Biochem Pharmacol* **64**(2) 207–16 DOI: [10.1016/S0006-2952\(02\)01118-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-2952(02)01118-8) PMID: [12123741](#)
133. Godwin AK et al (1992) **High resistance to cisplatin in human ovarian cancer cell lines is associated with marked increase of glutathione synthesis** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **89**(7) 3070–4 DOI: [10.1073/pnas.89.7.3070](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.89.7.3070) PMID: [1348364](#) PMCID: [48805](#)
134. Rajpert-De Meyts E et al (1992) **Transfection with gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase enhances recovery from glutathione depletion using extracellular glutathione** *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **114**(1) 56–62 DOI: [10.1016/0041-008X\(92\)90096-B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0041-008X(92)90096-B) PMID: [1350117](#)
135. Pompella A et al (2006) **Expression of gamma-glutamyltransferase in cancer cells and its significance in drug resistance** *Biochem Pharmacol* **71**(3) 231–8 DOI: [10.1016/j.bcp.2005.10.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2005.10.005)
136. Corti A et al (2010) **Gamma-glutamyltransferase of cancer cells at the crossroads of tumor progression, drug resistance and drug targeting** *Anticancer Res* **30**(4) 1169–81 PMID: [20530424](#)
137. Hanigan MH (1995) **Expression of gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase provides tumor cells with a selective growth advantage at physiologic concentrations of cyst (e) ine** *Carcinogenesis* **16**(2) 181–5 DOI: [10.1093/carcin/16.2.181](https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/16.2.181) PMID: [7859346](#)
138. Beguin Y et al (2014) **Epidemiological and nonclinical studies investigating effects of iron in carcinogenesis—a critical review** *Critical Rev Oncol/Hematol* **89**(1) 1–15 DOI: [10.1016/j.critrevonc.2013.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2013.10.008)
139. Weinberg ED (1996) **The role of iron in cancer** *Eur J Cancer Prev* **5**(1) 19–36 PMID: [8664805](#)
140. Lamy PJ, Durigova A and Jacot W (2014) **Iron homeostasis and anemia markers in early breast cancer** *Clin Chim Acta* **434**(0) 34–40 DOI: [10.1016/j.cca.2014.04.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2014.04.011) PMID: [24768787](#)

141. Wen CP et al (2014) **High serum iron is associated with increased cancer risk** *Cancer Res* **74**(22) 6589–97 DOI: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-0360](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-0360) PMID: [25228650](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25228650/)
142. Gilsing AM et al (2013) **Dietary heme iron and the risk of colorectal cancer with specific mutations in KRAS and APC** *Carcinogenesis* **34**(12) 2757–66 DOI: [10.1093/carcin/bgt290](https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgt290) PMID: [23983135](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23983135/)
143. Ashmore JH et al (2013) **Association of dietary and supplemental iron and colorectal cancer in a population-based study** *Eur J Cancer Prev* **22**(6) 506–11 DOI: [10.1097/CEJ.0b013e32836056f8](https://doi.org/10.1097/CEJ.0b013e32836056f8) PMID: [23492957](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23492957/)
144. Jakszyn P et al (2013) **Meat and heme iron intake and esophageal adenocarcinoma in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study** *Int J Cancer* **133**(11) 2744–50 PMID: [23728954](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23728954/)
145. Ruder EH et al (2014) **Dietary iron, iron homeostatic gene polymorphisms and the risk of advanced colorectal adenoma and cancer** *Carcinogenesis* **35**(6) 1276–83 DOI: [10.1093/carcin/bgu028](https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgu028) PMID: [24536049](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24536049/) PMCID: [4043236](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/4043236/)
146. Fonseca-Nunes A, Jakszyn P and Agudo A (2014) **Iron and cancer risk—a systematic review and meta-analysis of the epidemiological evidence** *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **23**(1) 12–31 DOI: [10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-13-0733](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-13-0733)
147. Qiao L and Feng Y (2013) **Intakes of heme iron and zinc and colorectal cancer incidence: a meta-analysis of prospective studies** *Cancer Causes Control* **24**(6) 1175–83 DOI: [10.1007/s10552-013-0197-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-013-0197-x) PMID: [23568532](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23568532/)
148. van Veldhuisen DJ et al (2011) **Anemia and iron deficiency in heart failure: mechanisms and therapeutic approaches** *Nat Rev Cardiol* **8**(9) 485–93 DOI: [10.1038/nrccardio.2011.77](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrccardio.2011.77) PMID: [21629210](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21629210/)
149. Martinsson A et al (2014) **Anemia in the general population: prevalence, clinical correlates and prognostic impact** *Eur J Epidemiol* **29**(7) 489–98 DOI: [10.1007/s10654-014-9929-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-014-9929-9) PMID: [24952166](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24952166/)
150. Peacock M (2015) **Calcium metabolism in health and disease** *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* **5** Suppl 1S23–30 DOI: [10.2215/CJN.05910809](https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.05910809)
151. Bringhurst F et al (2008) **Bone and mineral metabolism in health and disease** In: Fauci A et al ed Harrrion's Prinicples of InternalMedicine New York: McGraw-Hill
152. Takeda E et al (2004) **Inorganic phosphate homeostasis and the role of dietary phosphorus** *J Cell Mol Med* **8**(2) 191–200 DOI: [10.1111/j.1582-4934.2004.tb00274.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2004.tb00274.x) PMID: [15256067](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15256067/)
153. Jacobs ET et al (2009) **Vitamin D, calcium, and colorectal neoplasia: new insights on mechanisms of action** *Cancer Prev Res* **2**(3) 197–9 DOI: [10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-09-0019](https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-09-0019)
154. Lamprecht SA and Lipkin M (2003) **Chemoprevention of colon cancer by calcium, vitamin D and folate: molecular mechanisms** *Nat Rev Cancer* **3**(8) 601–14 DOI: [10.1038/nrc1144](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1144) PMID: [12894248](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12894248/)
155. Camalier CE et al (2010) **Elevated phosphate activates N-ras and promotes cell transformation and skin tumorigenesis** *Cancer Prev Res* **3**(3) 359–70 DOI: [10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-09-0068](https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-09-0068)
156. Jin H et al (2007) **High dietary inorganic phosphate affects lung through altering protein translation, cell cycle, and angiogenesis in developing mice** *Toxicol Sci* **100**(1) 215–23 DOI: [10.1093/toxsci/kfm202](https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfm202) PMID: [17698515](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17698515/)
157. Jin H et al (2008) **Low dietary inorganic phosphate affects the brain by controlling apoptosis, cell cycle and protein translation** *J Nutr Biochem* **19**(1) 16–25 DOI: [10.1016/j.jnutbio.2006.12.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnutbio.2006.12.021)
158. Jin H et al (2009) **High dietary inorganic phosphate increases lung tumorigenesis and alters Akt signaling** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* **179**(1) 59–68 DOI: [10.1164/rccm.200802-306OC](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200802-306OC) PMCID: [2615662](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2615662/)
159. Brandstedt J et al (2012) **Vitamin D, PTH, and calcium and the risk of prostate cancer: a prospective nested case-control study** *Cancer Causes Control* **23**(8) 1377–85 DOI: [10.1007/s10552-012-9948-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-012-9948-3) PMID: [22706676](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22706676/)

160. Schwartz GG and Skinner HG (2012) **A prospective study of total and ionized serum calcium and time to fatal prostate cancer** *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **21**(10) 1768–73 DOI: [10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-12-0585](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-12-0585) PMID: [22914529](#)
161. Salem S et al (2013) **Serum calcium concentration and prostate cancer risk: a multicenter study** *Nutr Cancer* **65**(7) 961–8 DOI: [10.1080/01635581.2013.806936](https://doi.org/10.1080/01635581.2013.806936) PMID: [24053657](#)
162. Bristow SM et al (2013) **Calcium supplements and cancer risk: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials** *Br J Nutr* **110**(8) 1384–93 DOI: [10.1017/S0007114513001050](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114513001050) PMID: [23601861](#)
163. Keum N et al (2014) **Calcium intake and colorectal cancer risk: dose-response meta-analysis of prospective observational studies** *Int J Cancer* **135**(8) 1940–8 DOI: [10.1002/ijc.28840](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.28840) PMID: [24623471](#)
164. Bergwitz C and Juppner H (2010) **Regulation of phosphate homeostasis by PTH, vitamin D, and FGF23** *Annu Rev Med* **61** 91–104 DOI: [10.1146/annurev.med.051308.111339](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.med.051308.111339) PMID: [20059333](#)
165. Christakos S et al (2011) **Vitamin D and intestinal calcium absorption** *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **347**(1–2) 25–9 DOI: [10.1016/j.mce.2011.05.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2011.05.038) PMID: [21664413](#) PMCID: [3405161](#)