*e*cancermedicalscience

Role of human papillomaviruses in carcinogenesis

Raffaella Ghittoni¹, Rosita Accardi², Susanna Chiocca³ and Massimo Tommasino²

¹Department of Biological Sciences, Molecular and Computational Biology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA ²Infections and Cancer Biology Group, International Agency for Research on Cancer, 150 cours Albert Thomas, 69008 Lyon, France ³IFOM-IEO Campus, Via Adamello 16, 20139 Milan, Italy

Correspondence to: Massimo Tommasino. Email: tommasino@iarc.fr

Abstract

The human papillomavirus (HPV) family comprises more than 170 different types that preferentially infect the mucosa of the genitals, upper-respiratory tract, or the skin. The 'high-risk HPV type', a sub-group of mucosal HPVs, is the cause of approximately 5% of all human cancers, which corresponds to one-third of all virus-induced tumours. Within the high-risk group, HPV16 is the most oncogenic type, being responsible for approximatively 50% of all worldwide cervical cancers. Many studies suggest that, in addition to the high-risk mucosal HPV types, certain cutaneous HPVs also have a role in the development of non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC).

Functional studies on the HPV early gene products showed that E6 and E7 play a key role in carcinogenesis. These two proteins use multiple mechanisms to evade host immune surveillance, allowing viral persistence, and to deregulate cell cycle and apoptosis control, thus facilitating the accumulation of DNA damage and ultimately cellular transformation.

The demonstration that high-risk HPV types are the etiological agents of cervical cancer allowed the implementation in the clinical routine of novel screening strategies for cervical lesions, as well as the development of a very efficient prophylactic vaccine. Because of these remarkable achievements, there is no doubt that in the coming decades we will witness a dramatic reduction of cervical cancer incidence worldwide.

Keywords: papillomavirus, carcinogenesis, HPV vaccines

Published: 29/04/2015

Received: 12/12/2014

ecancer 2015, 9:526 DOI: 10.3332/ecancer.2015.526

Copyright: © the authors; licensee ecancermedicalscience. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Members of the human papillomavirus family and their clinical implications

The human papillomaviruses (HPV) consist of a heterogeneous group of capsid-enclosed dsDNA viruses from the *Papillomaviridae* family that display a distinct tropism for mucosal or cutaneous squamous epithelia. Until now, more than 170 HPV types have been isolated and characterized [1].

Based on the homologous nucleotide sequence of the major capsid protein L1, a HPV phylogenetic tree has been generated that groups the different HPV types in genera [1, 2]. The alpha genus consists in approximately 30 HPV types that infect the mucosa of the genital tract as well as several cutaneous HPV types, e.g. HPV2 that is responsible for common skin warts. There are two groups of mucosal HPV types: low-risk HPVs (e.g. types 6 and 11), which are mainly linked to benign genital warts, and high-risk HPVs which are the etiological agents of cervical cancer and a subset of other human cancers (also see below) [3]. A large group of cutaneous HPV types forms the beta genus and are suspected to be involved, together with UV irradiation, with the development of non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) [4–6]. The other genera, gamma, mu and nu comprise cutaneous HPV types that are usually linked to the development of the cutaneous papillomas and warts.

While the involvement of HPV in causing benign warts was already known, the first evidence of association between human cancer and certain HPV types was proposed thirty years ago by zur Hausen and colleagues [7]. Subsequent epidemiological and biological studies confirmed the direct role of several mucosal HPV types in the development of cervical cancer and other epithelial tumors [8–10]. Worldwide epidemiological studies indicate that 18 different high-risk HPVs, namely 16, 18, 26, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 53, 56, 58, 59, 66, 68, 73, and 82, are associated with cervical cancer [9, 11]. HPV16 and HPV18 are the most carcinogenic types within this group, being responsible for approximately 50% and 20% of cervical cancer respectively [9, 12]. A subset of anal, penile, vulvar, vaginal, and oropharyngeal cancers have been attributed to the infection with high-risk HPVs [13, 14]. Notably, these cancers, in contrast to cervical cancer, appear to be mainly associated with HPV16, e.g. in more than 90% of the HPV-positive oropharyngeal cancers [15–17] (Table 1).

The first indication for the oncogenic potential of beta-HPV types came from their isolation in the skin of patients suffering from a rare genetic disorder called *Epidermodysplasia veruciformis* (EV) [18, 19]. EV patients have an impaired immune system and have high susceptibility to widespread and persistent HPV infection of the skin. As a consequence, they develop extensive verucosis of confluent flat warts, which in approximately 30–60% of cases evolve into multifocal squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) in sun-exposed regions. Accordingly, organ transplant recipients under immunosuppression treatment have a 50–100-fold increased risk of developing NMSC, and their skin is highly positive for beta HPV types [20]. Through the use of more sensitive diagnostic assays, it is clear nowadays that beta HPV-type infection is highly frequent also in the skin of healthy individuals [21]. However, their involvement in the development of NMSC in the general population is still not entirely demonstrated.

	HPV type	Disease (% attributed cases)	
	HPV16	Cervical squamous cell carcinoma (~50)	
'isk		Cervical adenocarcinoma (~35)	
gh-r		Oropharyngeal cancer (~25)	
al hi	HPV18	Cervical squamous cell carcinoma (~20)	
mucosal high-risk		Cervical adenocarcinoma (~35)	
n m		Oropharyngeal cancer (~1-3)	
mucosal low-risk	HPV31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59	Cervical squamous cell carcinoma (~30)	
		Minority of oropharyngeal cancers	
	HPV6, 11	Benign genital lesions	
		Respiratory papillomatosis	
٦ ۳	HPV13, 32	Oral focal epithelial hyperplasia	

Table 1. Mucosal HPV types	s (genus alpha)	and main associat	ed diseases.

Determination of beta-HPV viral load by quantitative PCR revealed that only a minority of skin cancer cells contained viral DNA [21, 22]. A plausible hypothesis is that the beta- HPV types play a role in the initial phase of carcinogenesis and are not required in the later stages for maintenance of the neoplastic phenotype. This situation differs from that established for mucosal high-risk HPV in cervical cancer, where the expression of viral oncogenes is constantly required during the entire carcinogenic process [7]. This may imply a need to consider a new scenario for the role of beta-HPV in NMSC pathogenesis, such as a 'hit-and-run' mechanism, although this by some extent may be regarded as controversial. Ultraviolet (UV) light is a well-known risk factor for skin cancer [23–25], inducing irreversible DNA damage. Thus, it is likely that beta-HPV types act as facilitators of accumulation of UV-induced DNA mutations, but are not required for the maintenance of cancer.

Screening strategies for HPV-associated cervical diseases

In the last three decades, cervical cancer incidence has been constantly decreasing in high-resource countries, because of the introduction of national cervical cancer screening programmes. In contrast, in low-resource countries, because of the lack of screening programmes, cervical cancer still remains a serious health problem being the first or second cancer in women [26]. The conventional Pap smear or the liquid-based cytology methods are the most frequently used approaches for cervical cancer screening, and are based on the morphological analysis of cervical exfoliated cells. The performance of these screening methods is strongly dependent on staff training. In fact, in countries were Pap test is not properly implemented yet, such screening method may frequently lead to misdiagnosis [27, 28]. Independent studies have shown that HPV DNA detection, used as a primary screening, has a higher sensitivity and negative predictive value for the detection of pre-invasive disease than the conventional Pap smear or the liquid-based cytology methods [29-32]. HPV testing can detect approximately 50% more cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) than the Pap test [33]. In addition, a recent study in India demonstrated that the use of HPV DNA testing once in life reduces mortality from invasive cervical cancer to approximately 50% [34]. The negative predictive value for combined HPV and cytology testing is very high, which is of particular interest for the cost efficiency of a cervical cancer screening [31, 35, 36]. An HPV typing method more widely used in clinical and epidemiological studies is a non PCR (polymerase chain reaction)-based commercial liquid hybridisation assay Hybrid Capture 2 (HC2) (Digene) which can detect 13 high-risk HPV types (16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, and 68) and five low-risk HPV types (types 6, 11, 42, 43, and 44) [37]. However, this method can only detect the presence of HPV infection, without revealing the HPV type. Additional assays have been developed and commercialised that can identify the HPV types present in the cervical specimens, e.g. LINEAR ARRAY HPV Genotyping (Roche Molecular Diagnostics) and INNO-LIPA HPV genotyping (Innogenetics). A limitation of all HPV detection methods is their low positive predictive value, not being able to discriminate between HPV-positive infections with morphological alterations and those without [38]. Indeed HPV infections can be often found, especially in young women, however in a majority of the case those infections are not persistent and will be naturally cleared by the immune system without inducing cervical lesions [39].

Because of this constrain of the HPV detection assays, the interpretation of HPV-positive data require a particular attention and further analyses. It is now clear that additional markers need to be considered to improve the positive predictive value of the HPV detection assays. Many studies suggest that analysis of viral load [40, 41], HPV transcripts [42] and/or expression of specific host genes [43] will facilitate the identification of HPV infections associated with cervical lesions. However, additional studies are required to corroborate the initial findings and develop strategies that can be adopted in the routine clinical procedures.

Recently, over-expressed p16^{INK4a} (p16) has been described as a surrogate biomarker of HPV-induced cellular transformation [44]. A further example of a putative biomarker is reported in this issue. Specifically, in HPV-positive cervical cancer lesions there is a significant increase of the SUMO conjugating enzyme Ubc9 in CIN 2/3 cervical biopsies, compared to CIN 1 and to non-infected tissues (Mattoscio, Casadio *et al*, in this issue).

Remarkably, earlier this year a landscape of cervical carcinomas genomic alterations has revealed previously unknown somatic mutations, suggesting that novel therapeutic strategies and/or biomarkers may soon be considered using these as indicators in the pathogenesis and/or treatment of this disease [45].

Molecular mechanisms of HPV-mediated carcinogenesis

The genome of all HPV types consists of a circular double stranded DNA filament of about 8 kb, and encodes approximately eight genes. According to protein expression during the viral cycle two functional genome regions have been identified: (i) a coding region containing the early genes, E1, E2, E4, E5, E6, and E7 and (ii) a region containing two late genes, the major (L1) and minor (L2) capsid proteins. In addition, the HPV genome has a non-coding region, termed long control region (LCR), which includes most of the regulatory elements involved in viral DNA replication and transcription [46]. HPV E6 and E7 genes are highly conserved in almost all HPV types so far identified, and in the case of cancer-associated HPV types they encode the major transforming proteins. So far, the majority of the biological studies have been focused on E6 and E7 from HPV16 and HPV18, since they are the most frequent types detected in cervical cancer worldwide.

The majority of sexually active women are infected by mucosal HPV types during their lifetime. Most of these infections remain asymptomatic and are eliminated by the immune system in 6–18 months. Only in a minority of women, HPV infection persists and after a period of latency evolve into low and/or high-grade CINs, which may still regress or progress to an invasive cervical carcinoma [47]. Notably high expression level of E6 and E7 oncoproteins is a biological hallmark of HPV-associated cancers. Another recurrent event occurring during progression to cervical cancer is the transition from episomal to host genome integrated form, even though a subset of HPV16-positive invasive cervical carcinomas, maintains viral DNA only as episomes [48, 49]. In several epidemiological studies, smoking, sexual behaviours, oral contraceptives, and genetic predisposition have been addressed as additional risk factors in the progression of the HPV-mediated disease [10, 50–53] Furthermore, impairment of the immune surveillance appears to facilitate the establishment of a persistent infection and development of a malignant lesion. Organ transplanted or HIV-positive individuals with an immunocompromised status have a much higher prevalence of single or multiple HPV infection and associated lesions than healthy persons [54, 55].

Biological studies have been mainly focused on HPV16 and HPV18, since they are the most frequent types detected in cervical cancer worldwide. These studies have clearly demonstrated that E5, E6, and E7 are directly involved in promoting cellular transformation and altering pathways related to the immune response, as well as cellular transformation [3, 56] by targeting several cellular proteins. One important example of viral/cellular protein interaction is the formation of the complex between E7 and the cellular proteins, pRb, p107, and p130, referred to as pocket proteins. These proteins play a crucial role in the regulation of cell cycle division. In quiescent cells, they directly bind several transcription factors, including members of the E2F family (E2F1-5), inhibiting their activity. In proliferating cells, cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK) become active leading to the phosphorylation of pRb and consequent release of the active forms of E2Fs. HPV16 E7 protein binds the hypo-phosphorylated form of pRb, promoting its degradation via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway and the progression of the cells into S phase. Thus, HPV16 E7/pRb interaction mimics the CDK-mediated phosphorylation, rendering the cell independent of any type of control. Recently this mechanism has been further defined [57].

The most characterised activity of HPV16 E6 is its ability to degrade the tumour suppressor protein p53 via the proteasome pathway. The p53 is a transcription factor that is activated in response to stress or DNA damage and positively regulates the expression of genes involved in the control of cell cycle arrest or apoptosis. E6 interacts with a 100 kDa cellular protein, E6AP (E6 associated protein), which functions as an ubiquitin protein ligase (E3). The E6/E6AP complex then binds p53, which becomes very rapidly ubiquitinated and, as a consequence, is targeted to proteasomes for degradation. Since the major role of p53 is to safeguard the integrity of the genome by inducing cell cycle arrest or apoptosis, cells expressing HPV16 E6 show chromosomal instability, which greatly increases the probability that HPV-infected cells will evolve towards malignancy. So far, a vast number of E6 and E7 cellular targets have been identified [57, 58]. Many of these cellular proteins are involved in the control of fundamental events, such as proliferation, senescence, apoptosis, differentiation, and immune response. Similarly to p53 and pRb, the majority of the E6 and E7 interactions with the cellular targets result in the degradation of the latter, e.g. the pro-apoptotic protein Bak and NFX1-91, the negative transcriptional regulator of hTERT (human telomerase reverse transcriptase). Interestingly, comparative analyses between the different HPV types led to the identification of E6 and E7 properties that are specific for the high-risk HPV [3]. Because of the complexity and the broadness of the topic, the biology of HPV proteins will not be deeply described in this article. We encourage the readers to consult reviews on the molecular mechanisms of HPV proteins [59, 60]. However, a synthetic summary of some of the biological properties of HPV early protein is given in Table 2.

HPV protein	Functions	Cellular targets	
E7	major oncoprotein, cell cycle arrest	pRb, p107, p130	
E6	major oncoprotein, cell proliferation, block apoptosis	p53, E6AP, CBP, p300, Bak, hTERT, MAGUK, cIAP, survivin	
E5	mediates mitogenic signal of growth factors/early stage transformation stage	_	
E4	destabilization of cytokeratin network	—	
E2	viral DNA replication and transcription	—	
E1	viral DNA replication	—	

Table 3. Principal features of HPV prophylactic vaccines.

Vaccine commercial name	HPV Types	Adjuvants	Production	Immunological response
Gardasil-Merck	16, 18, 6, 11	Aluminium	Insect cells	Th1
Cervarix-GSK	16, 18	Monophosphoryl lipid A	Yeast	Th1/Th2

Human papillomavirus vaccines

Since 2006 two prophylactic HPV vaccines, Gardasil and Cervarix, are commercially available. They are based on virus-like particles (VLPs) assembled from recombinant main capsid protein L1 produced in eukaryotic systems (Table 3). Both vaccines contain VLPs from HPV16 and HPV18, which, as described above, are responsible for approximately 70% of the cervical cancers worldwide. In addition, Gardasil includes VLPs from the low-risk HPV 6 and 11, which are associated with approximately 90% of the genital warts. The two vaccines also differ in the adjuvant composition; Gardasil is formulated with aluminium as adjuvants, while Cervarix contains additionally the monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL) (Table 3).

Several clinical trials have evaluated the safety and effectiveness of these two products during a follow-up period of seven to eight years. A recent clinical study aiming at directly comparing the two vaccines has clearly demonstrated that so far both products showed high efficacy in preventing the development of cervical pre-malignant lesions, inducing a robust response in immunised individuals and providing protection for at least five years [54, 61, 62].

Although the introduction into the market of this first generation of HPV vaccines represents an important clinical goal in cancer prevention, several additional aspects need to be solved or improved to optimise vaccine efficacy.

HPV-types specificity represents one of the major limitations. Indeed, vaccine efficacy is addressed only to the selected HPV types without any remarkable cross-protection against additional oncogenic HPV types. Hence, both Gardasil and Cervarix do not cover 20–30% of HPV associated cancers. Another important aspect is the high cost of these vaccines, which prevent their widespread application in the vast majority of human population from low and middle resources where cervical cancer is highly prevalent. Modifications of the VLPs assembly chain based on less expensive packaging protocols are currently under evaluation to reduce production costs. In addition, ongoing studies are currently focused on further improving vaccine immunogenicity testing novel antigenic components, such as adjuvants and immune system boosters. This will contribute to obtain a longer-life protection from viral infection and to reduce the number of shots needed for a complete protection [63]. A recent study showed the efficacy of a nonavalent HPV vaccine covering the infection of HPV6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58 [60].

Both L1 vaccines have no therapeutic effect in already infected women. Since in the majority of pre-malignant and malignant cervical lesions, E6 and E7 are the only HPV genes expressed, most therapeutic vaccines are focused on the stimulation of an immune-response against these two viral proteins [64]. Recently, very promising results were obtained, using an overlapping peptide pool covering the entire HPV16 E6 and E7 sequences in patients with high-grade vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia [65]. However, additional investigations are required to fully assess the efficacy of HPV therapeutic vaccines.

Conclusions

The demonstration by epidemiological and biological studies that high-risk HPV infection is associated with human carcinogenesis represents a very important milestone in cancer research. The establishment of such an association led to the development of efficient preventive strategies that has had, and will continue to have a profound impact on public health and overall wealth by reducing the incidence of pre-malignant and malignant cervical lesions. In addition, biological studies on HPV proteins did not only clarify the molecular mechanisms of HPV proteins, but also contributed to our understanding of the fundamental cellular pathways involved in the life of a normal cell.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank our wonderful friend and colleague Dr Mario Sideri. He was an outstanding physician and scientist, loved by both his patients and colleagues. He had a true broad and balanced vision and was a forerunner in his scientific field.

He constantly inspired his peers and always had a warm smile for everybody.

Ciao Mario!

This work was partially supported by the European Commission, grant HPV-AHEAD (FP7-HEALTH-2011-282562).

References

- 1. De Villiers EM et al (2004) Classification of papillomaviruses Virology 324(1) 17-27 DOI: <u>10.1016/j.virol.2004.03.033</u> PMID: <u>15183049</u>
- Bernard HU, Calleja-Macias IE and Dunn ST (2006) Genome variation of human papillomavirus types: phylogenetic and medical implications Int J Cancer 118(5) 1071–6 DOI: <u>10.1002/ijc.21655</u>
- Ghittoni R et al (2010) The biological properties of E6 and E7 oncoproteins from human papillomaviruses Virus Genes 40(1) 1–13 DOI: <u>10.1007/s11262-009-0412-8</u>
- 4. Accardi R and Gheit (2014) Cutaneous HPV and skin cancer Press Med 43(12P2) e435-e443 DOI: 10.1016/j.lpm.2014.08.008
- 5. Haedicke J and Iftner T (2013) Human papillomaviruses and cancer Radiother Oncol **108**(3) 397–402 DOI: <u>10.1016/j.radonc.2013.06.004</u> PMID: <u>23830197</u>
- 6. Smola S (2014) Human papillomaviruses and skin cancer Adv Exp Med Biol 810 192-207 PMID: 25207367
- Zur Hausen H (2002) Papillomaviruses and cancer: from basic studies to clinical application Nat Rev Cancer 2(5) 342–50 DOI: <u>10.1038/nrc798</u> PMID: <u>12044010</u>
- Bosch FX *et al* (1995) Prevalence of human papillomavirus in cervical cancer: a worldwide perspective. International biological study on cervical cancer (IBSCC) Study Group [see comments] *J Natl Cancer Inst* 87(11) 796–802 DOI: <u>10.1093/jnci/87.11.796</u> PMID: <u>7791229</u>
- Munoz N et al (2003) Epidemiologic classification of human papillomavirus types associated with cervical cancer N Engl J Med 348(6) 518–27 DOI: <u>10.1056/NEJMoa021641</u> PMID: <u>12571259</u>
- 10. Schiffman MH *et al* (1987) Biochemical epidemiology of cervical neoplasia: measuring cigarette smoke constituents in the cervix Cancer Res 47(14) 3886–8 PMID: <u>3594446</u>
- 11. De Sanjose S *et al* (2010) Human papillomavirus genotype attribution in invasive cervical cancer: a retrospective crosssectional worldwide study *Lancet Oncol* 11(11) 1048–56 DOI: <u>10.1016/S1470-2045(10)70230-8</u> PMID: <u>20952254</u>
- 12. Smith JS *et al* (2007) Human papillomavirus type distribution in invasive cervical cancer and high-grade cervical lesions: a meta-analysis update *Int J Cancer* **121**(3) 621–32 DOI: <u>10.1002/ijc.22527</u> PMID: <u>17405118</u>

- 13. Tommasino M (2014) The human papillomavirus family and its role in carcinogenesis Semin Cancer Biol 26 13–21 DOI: <u>10.1016/j.</u> semcancer.2013.11.002
- 14. IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans Human papillomaviruses (2007) IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum 90 1–636
- 15. Kreimer AR *et al* (2005) Human papillomavirus types in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas worldwide: a systematic review Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 14(2) 467–75 DOI: <u>10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-04-0551</u> PMID: <u>15734974</u>
- 16. Anantharaman D et al (2013) Human papillomavirus infections and upper aero-digestive tract cancers: the ARCAGE study J Natl Cancer Inst **105**(8) 536–45 DOI: <u>10.1093/jnci/djt053</u> PMID: <u>23503618</u>
- 17. Herrero R *et al* (2003) Human papillomavirus and oral cancer: the International Agency for Research on Cancer multicenter study *J Natl Cancer Inst* 95(23) 1772–83 DOI: <u>10.1093/jnci/djg107</u> PMID: <u>14652239</u>
- 18. Jablonska S, Dabrowski J and Jakubowicz K (1972) Epidermodysplasia verruciformis as a model in studies on the role of papovaviruses in oncogenesis Cancer Res 32(3) 583–99 PMID: <u>5061309</u>
- 19. Lutzner MA (1978) Epidermodysplasia verruciformis. An autosomal recessive disease characterized by viral warts and skin cancer. A model for viral oncogenesis *Bull Cancer* **65**(2) 169–82 PMID: 212144
- Euvrard S et al (2001) Human papillomavirus and skin carcinoma in organ transplants. Recent studies Ann Dermatol Venereol 128(11) 1252–5
- 21. Pfister H (2003) Chapter 8: Human papillomavirus and skin cancer J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr (31) 52–6 DOI: <u>10.1093/oxfordjour-nals.jncimonographs.a003483</u> PMID: <u>12807946</u>
- 22. De Koning MN *et al* (2009) Prevalence and associated factors of betapapillomavirus infections in individuals without cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma *J Gen Virol* **90** (Pt 7) 1611–21 DOI: <u>10.1099/vir.0.010017-0</u> PMID: <u>19321753</u>
- Ananthaswamy HN et al (1997) Sunlight and skin cancer: inhibition of p53 mutations in UV-irradiated mouse skin by sunscreens Nat Med 3(5) 510–4 DOI: <u>10.1038/nm0597-510</u> PMID: <u>9142118</u>
- 24. Armstrong DJ and Roman A (1992) Mutagenesis of human papillomavirus types 6 and 16 E7 open reading frames alters the electrophoretic mobility of the expressed proteins *J Gen Virol* 73(Pt 5) 1275–9 DOI: <u>10.1099/0022-1317-73-5-1275</u> PMID: <u>1316945</u>
- 25. Preston DS and Stern RS (1992) Nonmelanoma cancers of the skin N Engl J Med 327(23) 1649–62 DOI: <u>10.1056/</u> <u>NEJM199212033272307</u> PMID: <u>1435901</u>
- Parkin DM (2006) The global health burden of infection-associated cancers in the year 2002 Int J Cancer 118(12) 3030–44 DOI: <u>10.1002/ijc.21731</u> PMID: <u>16404738</u>
- Cuzick J et al (1999) HPV testing in primary screening of older women Br J Cancer 81(3) 554-8 DOI: <u>10.1038/sj.bjc.6690730</u> PMID: <u>10507785</u> PMCID: <u>2362918</u>
- Schneider A *et al* (1996) Screening for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 2/3: validity of cytologic study, cervicography, and human papillomavirus detection Am J Obstet Gynecol 174(5) 1534–41 DOI: <u>10.1016/S0002-9378(96)70602-6</u> PMID: <u>9065125</u>
- 29. Clavel C *et al* (2001) Human papillomavirus testing in primary screening for the detection of high-grade cervical lesions: a study of 7932 women *Br J Cancer* 84(12) 1616–23 DOI: <u>10.1054/bjoc.2001.1845</u> PMID: <u>11401314</u> PMCID: <u>2363679</u>
- 30. Cuzick J et al (2006) Chapter 10: New dimensions in cervical cancer screening Vaccine 24(Suppl 3) S3/90–7 DOI: 10.1016/j. vaccine.2006.05.122
- 31. Dillner J, Rebolj M and Birembaut P *et al* (2008) Long term predictive values of cytology and human papillomavirus testing in cervical cancer screening: joint European cohort study *BMJ* 337 1754 DOI: <u>10.1136/bmj.a1754</u>
- Sasieni P and Cuzick J (2002) Could HPV testing become the sole primary cervical screening test? J Med Screen 9(2) 49–51 DOI: <u>10.1136/jms.9.2.49</u> PMID: <u>12133918</u>

- Gree M and Davies P (2008) Human papillomavirus testing for primary cervical cancer screening Expert Rev Mol Diagn 8(5) 599–605 DOI: <u>10.1586/14737159.8.5.599</u> PMID: <u>18785808</u>
- Sankaranarayanan R et al (2009) HPV screening for cervical cancer in rural India N Engl J Med 360(14) 1385–94 DOI: <u>10.1056/</u> <u>NEJMoa0808516</u> PMID: <u>19339719</u>
- 35. Sherman ME et al (2003) Baseline cytology, human papillomavirus testing, and risk for cervical neoplasia: a 10-year cohort analysis J Natl Cancer Inst 95(1) 46–52 DOI: <u>10.1093/jnci/95.1.46</u> PMID: <u>12509400</u>
- 36. Hoyer H et al (2005) Cumulative 5-year diagnoses of CIN2, CIN3 or cervical cancer after concurrent high-risk HPV and cytology testing in a primary screening setting Int J Cancer 116(1) 136–43 DOI: <u>10.1002/ijc.20955</u> PMID: <u>15756677</u>
- 37. Lorincz AT (1996) Hybrid Capture method for detection of human papillomavirus DNA in clinical specimens: a tool for clinical management of equivocal Pap smears and for population screening *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 22(6) 629–36 DOI: <u>10.1111/j.1447-0756.1996.tb01081.x PMID</u>: <u>9037955</u>
- 38. Ronco G et al (2006) Human papillomavirus testing and liquid-based cytology in primary screening of women younger than 35 years: results at recruitment for a randomised controlled trial Lancet Oncol 7(7) 547–55 DOI: <u>10.1016/S1470-2045(06)70731-8</u> PMID: <u>16814206</u>
- Cuzick J et al (2006) Overview of the European and North American studies on HPV testing in primary cervical cancer screening Int J Cancer 119(5) 1095–101 DOI: <u>10.1002/ijc.21955</u> PMID: <u>16586444</u>
- 40. Briolat J et al (2007) HPV prevalence, viral load and physical state of HPV-16 in cervical smears of patients with different grades of CIN Int J Cancer 121(10) 2198–204 DOI: <u>10.1002/ijc.22959</u> PMID: <u>17657742</u>
- Hesselink AT *et al* (2009) High-risk human papillomavirus DNA load in a population-based cervical screening cohort in relation to the detection of high-grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and cervical cancer *Int J Cancer* 124(2) 381–6 DOI: <u>10.1002/</u> <u>ijc.23940</u>
- Schmitt M et al (2010) Diagnosing cervical cancer and high-grade precursors by HPV16 transcription patterns Cancer Res 70(1) 249–56 DOI: <u>10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-2514</u>
- Cuschieri K and Wentzensen N. (2008) Human papillomavirus mRNA and p16 detection as biomarkers for the improved diagnosis of cervical neoplasia Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 17(10) 2536–45 DOI: <u>10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-0306</u> PMID: <u>18842994</u> PMCID: <u>2900792</u>
- 44. Denton KJ *et al* (2010) The sensitivity and specificity of p16(INK4a) cytology vs HPV testing for detecting high-grade cervical disease in the triage of ASC-US and LSIL pap cytology results Am J Clin Pathol 134(1) 12–21 DOI: <u>10.1309/AJCP3CD9YKY-FJDQL</u> PMID: <u>20551261</u>
- 45. Ojesina Al et al (2014) Landscape of genomic alterations in cervical carcinomas Nature 506(7488) 371–5 DOI: <u>10.1038/</u> nature12881 PMID: <u>24390348</u> PMCID: <u>4161954</u>
- 46. Baker TS *et al* (1991) Structures of bovine and human papillomaviruses. Analysis by cryoelectron microscopy and threedimensional image reconstruction *Biophys J* 60(6) 1445–56 DOI: <u>10.1016/S0006-3495(91)82181-6</u> PMID: <u>1663794</u> PMCID: <u>1260204</u>
- Ostör AG (1993) Natural history of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia: a critical review Int J Gynecol Pathol 12(2) 186–92 DOI: <u>10.1097/00004347-199304000-00018</u> PMID: <u>8463044</u>
- Pett M and Coleman N (2007) Integration of high-risk human papillomavirus: a key event in cervical carcinogenesis? J Pathol 212(4) 356–67 DOI: <u>10.1002/path.2192</u> PMID: <u>17573670</u>

- 49. Gray E et al (2010) In vitro progression of human papillomavirus 16 episome-associated cervical neoplasia displays fundamental similarities to integrant-associated carcinogenesis Cancer Res 70(10) 4081–91 DOI: <u>10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3335</u> PMID: <u>20442284</u> PMCID: <u>2872760</u>
- 50. Moreno V et al (1995) Risk factors for progression of cervical intraepithelial neoplasm grade III to invasive cervical cancer Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 4(5) 459–67 PMID: <u>7549800</u>
- 51. Moreno V *et al* (2002) Effect of oral contraceptives on risk of cervical cancer in women with human papillomavirus infection: the IARC multicentric case-control study *Lancet* **359**(9312) 1085–92 DOI: <u>10.1016/S0140-6736(02)08150-3</u> PMID: <u>11943255</u>
- 52. Munoz N et al (2002) Role of parity and human papillomavirus in cervical cancer: the IARC multicentric case-control study Lancet 359(9312) 1093–101 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)08151-5 PMID: 11943256
- 53. Plummer M, Peto J and Franceschi S (2012) Time since first sexual intercourse and the risk of cervical cancer Int J Cancer 130(11) 2638–44 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.26250
- 54. Einstein MH *et al* (2009) Clinician's guide to human papillomavirus immunology: knowns and unknowns Lancet Infect Dis **9**(6) 347–56 DOI: <u>10.1016/S1473-3099(09)70108-2</u> PMID: <u>19467474</u>
- 55. Rodriguez AC et al (2008) Rapid clearance of human papillomavirus and implications for clinical focus on persistent infections J Natl Cancer Inst 100(7) 513–7 DOI: 10.1093/jnci/djn044 PMID: 18364507 PMCID: 3705579
- 56. O'Brien PM and Campo MS (2003) Papillomaviruses: a correlation between immune evasion and oncogenicity? *Trends Microbiol* **11**(7) 300–5 DOI: <u>10.1016/S0966-842X(03)00145-8</u>
- 57. White EA *et al* (2012) Systematic identification of interactions between host cell proteins and E7 oncoproteins from diverse human papillomaviruses *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **109**(5) E260–E267 DOI: <u>10.1073/pnas.1116776109</u> PMID: <u>22232672</u> PMCID: <u>3277141</u>
- 58. White EA *et al* (2012) Comprehensive analysis of host cellular interactions with human papillomavirus E6 proteins identifies new E6 binding partners and reflects viral diversity *J Virol* 86(24) 13174–86 DOI: <u>10.1128/JVI.02172-12</u> PMID: <u>23015706</u> PMCID: <u>3503137</u>
- 59. Howie HL, Katzenellenbogen RA and Galloway DA (2009) Papillomavirus E6 proteins Virology 384(2) 324–34 DOI: <u>10.1016/j.</u> virol.2008.11.017 PMCID: <u>2674106</u>
- 60. Joura EA, Giuliano AR and Iversen OE *et al* (2015) A 9-valent HPV vaccine against infection and intraepithelial neoplasia in women N Engl J Med 372 711–23
- 61. Romanowski B *et al* (2009) Sustained efficacy and immunogenicity of the human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine: analysis of a randomised placebo-controlled trial up to 6.4 years Lancet 374(9706) 1975–85 DOI: <u>10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61567-1</u> PMID: <u>19962185</u>
- 62. Villa LL *et al* (2006) High sustained efficacy of a prophylactic quadrivalent human papillomavirus types 6/11/16/18 L1 virus-like particle vaccine through 5 years of follow-up *Br J Cancer* 95(11) 1459–66 DOI: <u>10.1038/sj.bjc.6603469</u> PMID: <u>17117182</u> PMCID: <u>2360730</u>
- Albers AE and Kaufmann AM (2009) Therapeutic human papillomavirus vaccination Public Health Genomics 12(5–6) 331–42 DOI: <u>10.1159/000214923</u> PMID: <u>19684445</u>
- Kanodia S, Da Silva DM and Kast WM (2008) Recent advances in strategies for immunotherapy of human papillomavirusinduced lesions Int J Cancer 122(2) 247–59 DOI: <u>10.1002/ijc.23252</u>
- 65. Kenter GG *et al* (2009) Vaccination against HPV-16 oncoproteins for vulvar intraepithelial neoplasia N Engl J Med 361(19) 1838–47 DOI: <u>10.1056/NEJMoa0810097</u> PMID: <u>19890126</u>