# Looking beyond the smokescreen: can the oral microbiome be a tool or target in the management of tobacco-associated oral cancer?

Snehal Kadam<sup>1</sup>, Madhusoodhanan Vandana<sup>1</sup>, Sudhanshu Patwardhan<sup>2</sup> and Karishma S Kaushik<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Human-Relevant Infection Biology Group, Institute of Bioinformatics and Biotechnology, Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune 411007, India <sup>2</sup>Centre for Health Research and Education, University of Southampton Science Park, Chilworth, Hampshire SO16 7NP, UK

# Abstract

A wide range of microbes inhabit the oral cavity, and bacterial and fungal communities most often exist as structured communities or biofilms. The use of tobacco alters the structure of the oral microbiome, including that of potentially malignant lesions, and the altered oral microbiome influences key microenvironmental changes such as chronic inflammation, secretion of carcinogenic toxins, cellular and tissue remodelling and suppression of apoptosis. Given this, it is clear that the bacterial and fungal biofilms in potentially malignant states are likely not passive entities, but could play a critical role in shaping potential malignant and carcinogenic conditions. This holds potential towards leveraging the oral microbiome for the management of tobacco-associated potentially malignant lesions and oral cancer. Here, we explore this line of investigation by reviewing the effects of tobacco in shaping the oral microbiome, and analyse the available evidence in the light of the microbiome of oral potentially malignant and cancerous lesions, and the role of dysbiosis in carcinogenesis. Finally, we discuss possible interventions and approaches using which the oral microbiome could be leveraged towards precision-based oral cancer therapeutics.

Keywords: oral microbiome, biofilms, tobacco, potentially malignant lesions, oral cancer

# Tobacco use and oral cancer

Tobacco-associated disease is a global public health threat [1]. There are ~1.3 billion users of tobacco worldwide [2], of which an overwhelming majority (~80%) live in lowand middle-income countries. While a large proportion of tobacco users worldwide are cigarette smokers (~1 billion), the use of smokeless tobacco forms (nearly 30% in South and South-East Asia [3]) is also a grave concern. In India alone, there are ~300 million consumers of smokeless tobacco forms, and it is the most prevalent form of tobacco use among women in the country (India Report from CHRE [4]). Tobacco use is associated with a range of adverse health consequences [5, 6], notably the development of oral cancer [7]. Correspondence to: Karishma S Kaushik Email: karishmaskaushik@gmail.com

ecancer 2021, 15:1179 https://doi.org/10.3332/ecancer.2021.1179

Published: 01/02/2021 Received: 19/09/2020

Publication costs for this article were supported by ecancer (UK Charity number 1176307).

**Copyright:** © the authors; licensee ecancermedicalscience. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://</u> <u>creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Review

Globally, tobacco use is responsible for 25% of all cancer-related deaths (2.4 million deaths from tobacco-use associated cancers) [8]. Cigarette smoke contains approximately 7,000 chemicals, of which at least 70 are known carcinogens [9–11]. Smoking-associated oral malignant changes are typically seen in the labial or buccal mucosa, tongue, gingiva, palate, alveolar mucosa, lips and salivary glands [12–15]. On the other hand, smokeless tobacco forms are chewed, placed in close contact with the oral mucosa or applied over the teeth and gums. Smokeless tobacco is consumed as raw leaves (local names such as *khaini, misri, gutka, zarda, toombak*) or dissolvable forms (lozenges, sticks, strips) [3, 16], often containing additives such as areca nut, betel quid, catechu, slaked lime, ash, sodium bicarbonate, as well as flavouring agents such as menthol and plant oils. The process of tobacco curing, fermentation and ageing, results in the production of tobacco-specific nitrosamines (TSNAs), which are the major group of carcinogens in smokeless tobacco [17–19].

# Current management of tobacco-associated premalignant changes and oral cancer

Tobacco-associated oral cancer typically starts as premalignant lesions, which through a series of genetic and molecular changes, influenced by oral microenvironmental factors [20, 21], undergoes malignant transformation to oral cancer. The most common form of oral cancer squamous cell carcinoma [22], which most often develops from potentially malignant lesions such as oral submucous fibrosis, leukoplakia, erythroplakia, among others [23, 24].

Currently, the mainstay of management for oral premalignant lesions is observation with frequent clinical examinations, along with education towards cessation. This is not only a passive and suboptimal approach, but is also limited by access to healthcare and success of cessation efforts. On the other hand, active interventional approaches include surgical resection and ablation. These are limited by 'field effects' where potentially malignant changes, though not phenotypically visible, extend to larger areas beyond the lesion, as well as severe disfigurement and impairment of function. Finally, limited medical approaches such as retinoic acid, Vitamin E, and natural compounds are either limited by toxicity (retinoic acid) or lack of thorough clinical evaluations [20]. As evident, there is an impasse in the management of tobacco-associated potentially malignant lesions, and current management strategies fail to account for the complexity of the disease state.

# Tobacco, the oral microbiome and oral cancer

Tobacco-associated potentially malignant lesions exist and develop in a dynamic oral microenvironment, that includes diverse, multi-species microbial communities [25–28], most often observed as biofilms. It is widely recognised that distinct microbial signatures influence key processes such as chronic inflammation and carcinogenesis [29, 30]. This offers the exciting possibility that the oral microbiome (or biofilms) can be a tool or target in the management of tobacco-associated potentially malignant lesions. Here, we explore this line of investigation by reviewing the increasingly recognized role of tobacco in shaping the oral microbiome, focusing on the bacterial and fungal communities (Table 1). We then look at the effects of bacterial and fungal dysbiosis on key processes in oral potentially malignant and cancerous lesions. Finally, we discuss possible interventions and approaches with which the oral microbiome can be leveraged towards precision-based oral cancer therapeutics.

### How tobacco affects the oral microbiome

Tobacco is known to influence the inflammatory response of the oral cavity [31–42]. Overall, this contributes to a pro-inflammatory microenvironment eventually leading to chronic inflammation, which is known to be associated with oral carcinogenesis [43–47]. However, it is evident that tobacco exposure (in various forms) not only results in carcinogenic changes in the oral mucosa [48–57], but also shapes the oral microbiome. Several studies have characterized the oral microbiome in tobacco users [36, 58–64], using samples such as tumour or lesion biopsies, oral biofilm swabs, oral cavity rinses or saliva, from a diverse range of tobacco users.

	Tobacco components associ- ated with the condition	Microbes associated with the condition	Reference
Early inflammation to chronic inflam- mation	Smokeless forms: Betel (Areca) nut, Gutka, Iqmik Smoking forms: Nicotine, ciga- rette smoke	Reduction in commensal microflora while no loss in pathogenic forms. Bacterial—Porphyromonas gingivalis, Streptococcus anginosus Fungal—Candida albicans	[31, 35, 40, 55, 79, 142–144]
Leukoplakia	Smokeless form: Betel (Areca) nut, Toombak Smoking form: Cigarette smoke	Bacterial–Streptococcus anginosus, Oribacterium, Streptococcus infantis, Acti- nomyces species, Abiotrophia species, Haemophilus species, Bacillus species Fungal–Candida albicans, Candida glabrata, Candida tropicalis, Candida krusei	[69, 71, 87, 143, 145–147]
Erythroplakia	Smokeless form: Betel (Areca) nut Smoking form: Cigarette smoke	Bacterial—Streptococcus anginosus, Oribacterium, Streptococcus infantis, Actinomyces species Fungal—Candida albicans	[69, 87]
Oral submucous fibrosis	Smokeless form: Betel (Areca) nut Smoking form: Cigarette smoke	Bacterial—Streptococcus anginosus, Oribacterium, Streptococcus infantis, Actinomyces species Fungal—Candida albicans	[69, 85]
Oral cancer	Smokeless form: Betel (Areca) nut, Khaini, Maras powder, ketone and amine components Smoking form: Cigarette smoke (nitrosamines)	Increase in (compared to normal mucosa): Bacterial–Streptococcus anginosus, Porphyromonas gingivalis, Veillonella, Fusobacterium nucleatum, Prevotella intermedia, Actinomyces, Clostridium, Haemophilus parainfluenza, Enterococcus faecalis, Escherichia coli, Abiotrophia species, Streptococcus anginosus Fungal–Candida albicans, Candida glabrata, Candida tropicalis, Candida incon- spicua, Candida famata, Candida kefyr, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Candida krusei Reduction in (compared to normal mucosa): Bacterial–Streptococcus species, Staphylococcus species, Neisseria species, Peptostreptococcus species, Propionibacterium species, Capnocytophaga species,	[71, 79, 80, 83, 86, 87, 98, 100, 147-151]

#### Table 1. Tobacco-associated oral microbiome changes associated with the development and progression of premalignant lesions and oral cancer.

#### Alteration in bacterial species profiles

In one study, tobacco smokers and non-smokers were sampled for oral marginal and subgingival plaques [36]. During this study, all subjects received oral prophylaxis to remove any initial plaque and were asked to cover six teeth while brushing to avoid loss of the plaque. After 24 hours, the plaque samples were collected and the procedure was repeated to obtain samples at different time points up to 7 days. This model allowed the study of undisturbed plaque from subjects for the given time periods. About 71% of the oral plaque community in non-smokers remained stable over the 7-day period, whereas this number was only 46% in smokers, indicating greater fluctuations in smoker plaques. Over a period of 7 days, smokers acquired species like *Lactobacillus*, *Fusobacterium*, *Centipeda periodontii*, *Pseudomonas*, *Treponema*,

Leptotrichia, Synergistes, Propionibacterium and Cardiobacterium, which were absent in non-smokers [36]. In a similar study, tobacco smoking contributed to a pathogen-rich environment as seen by the presence of species such as F. nucleatum, F. naviforme, A. johnsonii, A. baumannii, A. haemolyticus, S. mutans, and low abundance of Streptococcus sanguinis, S. oralis, Actinomyces viscosus, A. israelii, A. dentalis, Neisseria subflava [63, 64].

In another study of smokers and non-smokers, with further subgroups of treated and non-treated for periodontitis, *Fusobacterium* was found to be higher in both untreated and treated smoker groups [65]. Additionally, the same study found *Bacteroides forsythus, Peptostreptococcus micros* and *Campylobacter rectus*, along with *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, to be the most prominent microbial signature for smokers. Though studies have noted an increase in *Fusobacteria* in smoker populations, some studies report an opposite trend [59, 66, 67]. Interestingly, under *in vitro* conditions, smokeless tobacco aqueous extracts and TSNAs demonstrated either a reduction of growth for *Fusobacterium nucleatum* or no change in profile. All smokeless extracts promoted the growth of *Peptostreptococcus micros, Streptococcus anginosus, S. constellatus, S. sanguinis* and *Veillonella parvula* [68]. For certain species, this also correlates to what is seen *in vivo*, where *Streptococcus anginosus* was significantly higher in chewers having oral lesions [69], and has been identified in various head and neck cancers [70–72]. Notably, *Streptococcus infantis* was more abundant in current chewers compared to past users or those who never used the tobacco product [69], indicating that some differences in microbiome due to betel quid chewing could be reversible.

On the other hand, clinical saliva samples of tobacco smokers revealed reduced diversity of Gram-positive bacteria compared to nonsmokers, with only nine different species cultured from smokers compared to eighteen different species from non-smokers [61]. Certain *Proteobacteria* were also reduced in smokers [59, 60, 62].

#### Alteration in bacterial gene expression profiles

In addition to altered species profiles in tobacco users, tobacco smoke exposure elicits different gene expression profiles in multi-species pathogenic and commensal biofilms [35]. Commensal biofilms were grown by seeding *Streptococcus oralis, Streptococcus sanguis, Streptococcus mitis, Veillonella parvula, Neisseria mucosa,* and *Actinomyces naeslundii* in a mixture of Brain -Heart Infusion broth (BHI) and artificial saliva (1:1). Pathogenic biofilms were grown by seeding commensals along with *F. nucleatum,* and incubating for 24 hours, followed by further seeding of *Porphyromonas gingivalis, Filifactor alocis, Dialister pneumosintes, Selenonomas sputigena, Selenominas noxia, Catonella morbi, Parvimonas micra and Tannerella forsythia.* Smoke exposure upregulated fermentative pathways in both pathogenic and commensal biofilms. Fermentative pathways among several bacteria can lead to the formation of metabolites like butyrate [73], and the role of butyrate has been studied in various cancers [74, 75]. Commensal biofilms in tobacco smoke environments faced a loss of viability and elicited an early proinflammatory immune response (seen as an increase in various interleukins and macrophage inflammatory proteins) from immortalised human oral keratinocytes (OKF6/TERT-2) [35]. Pathogenic biofilms, however, did not show any significant loss of viability during the same time period, but did elicit a late immune response. This could indicate that tobacco exposure leads to elimination of commensal biofilms, while selecting for pathogenic biofilms. This transition from a commensal dominant to a pathogen dominant biofilm with tobacco usage could serve as a marker for oral health decline and increased risks of lesion progression.

#### Effect on bacterial interspecies interactions

When *Porphyromonas gingivalis* grown in cigarette smoke extract-conditioned media (compared to non-conditioned media) was added on top of *Streptococcus gordonii* on saliva-coated coverslips in flow cells, a three-fold increase in the dual-species biofilm height and two-fold increase in total microcolony numbers were detected [76]. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells challenged with cigarette smoke extract-exposed *P. gingivalis* biofilms (on pellicle coated discs) exhibited lower Interleukin (IL)-6 and Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF)- $\alpha$ , compared to control biofilms. Cigarette smoke extract exposure was thus seen to promote dual-species biofilms of *P. gingivalis* and *S. gordonii*, and lowers the proinflammatory response elicited by *P. gingivalis*. Cigarette smoke extract-exposure increased *P. gingivalis* binding to immobilised Glyceralde-hyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), a FimA ligand on *S. gordonii*. a FimA ligand on *S. gordonii*. Additionally, cigarette smoke extract-exposure was shown to increase FimA protein levels, an important virulence factor that helps in adhesion and attachment, and also increases biofilm formation by *P. gingivalis* [77]. A recent study has shown that *P. gingivalis* invaded OKF6/TERT cells less in the presence of commensals such as *S. gordonii* [78]. An increase in dual-biofilm formation upon cigarette smoke extract-exposure suggests that tobacco could possibly alter the interactions between the two bacterial species allowing pathogens to use existing commensals to establish themselves in the oral

cavity. Various studies have isolated *P. gingivalis* from oral tumours [79, 80], and infection with *P. gingivalis* resulted in increased tongue lesion size in a 4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide (4NQO) based cancer mouse model [81]. Moreover, infection with *P. gingivalis* along with 4-quinoline oxide (QO), a carcinogen, led 65% of the mice to develop squamous cell carcinoma compared to 45% in mice treated with only 4NQO, indicating that *P. gingivalis* enhanced carcinogenesis. *P. gingivalis* also showed increased staining in gingival carcinomas as compared to *S. gordonii* [80], supporting the non-invasiveness of *S. gordonii* with respect to gingival epithelial cells *in vitro* [82].

#### Effect on fungal species profiles

Among fungal species, *Candida albicans* and non-albicans *Candida* species such as *C. tropicalis*, *C. krusei*, *C. glabrata* have been isolated from various oral lesions [83–87]. *C. albicans* was significantly higher in oral swabs of waterpipe smokers compared to non-smokers [66]. Saliva samples from tobacco users had higher levels of *Candida albicans* and *C. tropicalis* and *C. krusei* was unchanged compared to non-tobacco users [88]. This trend was also observed with smokeless tobacco users (*gutka* and betel-quid chewers), who had a higher *Candida* carriage, compared to non-chewers, with *C. albicans* being the most commonly present species [89].

Different concentrations of cigarette smoke condensate have been shown to result in increased adhesion on glass slides as well as increased metabolic activity in cultures of *C. albicans* [90]. *C. albicans* also formed more biofilms on collagen scaffolds, as seen by scanning electron microscopy as well as crystal violet staining. This increased adhesion and biofilm formation of *Candida* biofilms in presence of cigarette smoke condensate has also been noted for orthodontic materials like acrylic resin and bands [91]. Cigarette smoke condensate (CSC), in the presence of 10% serum at 37°C (hyphae-forming conditions), was observed to increase *C. albicans in vitro* hyphal formation and chitin production [92]. *C. albicans* pretreated with CSC were not only able to adhere more to human gingival fibroblasts, but also proliferated more within 48 hours. A higher number of CSC-pretreated *Candida* cells transitioned to hyphal form upon contact with the fibroblasts under hyphal specific conditions compared to those not treated with CSC. Attachment to host cells and increased hyphal formation are important for the invasive-ness of *Candida* [93], with *Candida* species isolated from oral mucosal lesions associated with tobacco use were found to be in their hyphal forms [85].

So far, the evidence strongly establishes that tobacco use alters the distribution, diversity and abundance of oral bacterial and fungal species, relative to non-users. The fact that the studies vary in their findings, underscores that various factors such as tobacco type, usage pattern, duration and frequency, underlying predisposing factors, health conditions and diet, play an additional role [94]. Notwithstanding this, altered oral microbial communities resulting from tobacco use are very likely to shape the microbiome of tobacco-associated potentially malignant lesions.

#### Microbes associated with oral potentially malignant lesions and cancer

Microbes, often as biofilms, have been found in close association with potentially malignant lesions and Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma (OSCC) tumours in the oral cavity [86, 95–101]. Notably, the abundance, distribution, diversity have been observed to vary not only between tobacco-users and non-users, but also across tumour and non-tumour sites in OSCC patients [98, 102, 103]. Bacterial communities on the surface of oral carcinomas in tobacco-users have to be enriched for *Fusobacterium*, *Actinomyces*, *Clostridium*, *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Streptococcus* species, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Veillonella*, *Prevotella*, *Porphyromonas* and *Clostridium* [98, 102, 103]. In one study, three groups, comprising of OSCC tumour patients, high-risk individuals (smokers and alcohol users without signs of OSCC) and healthy individuals, were analysed for microbial species and abundance (either at tumour sites of OSCC subjects or normal mucosa in high-risk and healthy subjects) [100]. Patients with OSCC tumours showed a higher presence of anaerobes, relative to the other two groups, with *Fusobacterium* and *Prevotella* seen to predominate. Another study identified a large cohort of bacteria within OSCC tissues, as visualised by fluorescent in situ hybridisation [96]. Bacteria were found to be present throughout the OSCC tissue. Bacterial identification via 16S rRNA sequencing revealed that *Clavibacter michiganensis subsp. tessellarius*, *Fusobacterium naviforme* and *Ralstonia insidiosa* were at least 30% more in OSCC samples. *Streptococcus anginosus* was also identified in a subset of patient in this study. Notably, *Streptococcus anginosus* has been found in close association with many head and neck carcinomas [70, 71]. Notably, *S. anginosus* isolated from OSCC samples was genotypically identical to *S. anginosus* isolated from dental plaques of the same patients, and was not detected in saliva samples, indicating that plaques could be locations where the bacteria accumulate in the oral cavi

*Candida* species have been isolated from patients having malignant oral squamous cell carcinoma, atypical lichen planus and chronic candidiasis, and *Candida* species from dorsum tongue of asymptomatic carriers with healthy mucosa were used as controls [83]. *Candida albicans* was the most commonly occurring species in all groups. *Candida albicans* strains of different biotypes isolated from leukoplakia and erythroleukoplakia have demonstrated high nitrosation potential, quantified as the ability to form N-nitroso benzylmethylamine from N-benzylmethylamine and nitrite [104]. Higher nitrosation potential isolates correlated with advanced stages of lesions. Other *Candida* species, such as *C. tropicalis* and *C. parapsilosis*, isolated from these lesions ranked lower in their nitrosation potential. The ability to produce carcinogens like nitrosamines has been suggested to contribute to malignant transformation in the oral cavity [105–107]. Further, *in* vitro analysis revealed that isolates from the carcinoma and candidiasis groups were able to form significantly more biofilms as quantified by metabolic activity (XTT); notably, *Candida albicans* formed less biofilms compared to other strains. On the other hand, no difference was found in lipolytic activity, proteolytic activity and hydrophobicity. Though the virulence factors had differential levels in different clinical conditions, there was no association of virulence factors with individual strain types. This indicates that *Candida* pathogenesis is likely to be influenced by an interplay of multiple factors like virulence, abundance of species, as well as other underlying factors like the immune state of the patient and co-infecting species.

In a recent study, the diversity and distribution of microbial signatures was largely seen to reduce with cancer progression. When samples of normal, epithelial precursor lesions (dysplasia, hyperplasia or hyperkeratosis) and OSCC individuals were analysed for microbial composition, a multidimensional scaling plot revealed that the distribution was more condensed for OSCC patients than for the other two groups [103]. Harvesting of tissue samples from oral tumours and 16S rRNA sequencing based identification of microbial isolates revealed that the microbiome of superficial tissues of the tumour housed additional species which were absent in the deep tumour tissues [108]. This indicates a specialisation within the tumour itself, where not all species in the oral cavity would become associated with and survive in the tumour microenvironment.

Taken together, certain key microbial players are observed to be consistently detected in association with oral potentially malignant lesions and OSCC, including *Fusobacterium*, *Candida*, *Porphyromonas*, *Streptococcus*, *Veillonella* and *Prevotella*. While evidence is limited in this regard, it is likely that the microbial profile changes with lesion progression, pointing to the imminent role of the microbiome in shaping inflammatory and carcinogenic processes.

# Oral dysbiosis and cancer: how could the altered oral microbiome play a role in carcinogenesis?

Microbial dysbiosis is well-known to be associated with carcinogenic processes [109–112], either via inflammatory changes, or the production of toxins or metabolites. It is likely that these processes play out in potentially malignant and OSCC lesions, and could initiate and promote a series of inflammatory and potentially carcinogenic changes in the oral cavity. In general, multiple oral species, via secreted endotoxins and metabolic byproducts, induce production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (such as TNFG, IL-1, IL-6, IL-8), other immune signaling factors (such as Matrix Metallo-Proteinases (MMPs), Granulocye-Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor (GM-CSF)), degradation of tissues, inhibition of antibacterial activities of immune cells and invasion of host tissues [26, 113–116]. This results in a chronic inflammatory microenvironment, known to affect key carcinogenic processes such as cell growth, proliferation and migration, apoptosis and differentiation to tumour-like phenotypes [105, 113, 117–119]. In the context of oral carcinogenesis, notable pathogens include *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Candida* species.

*Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Fusobacterium nucleatum* produce sulphur compounds that induce cell proliferation, migration, invasion and tumour angiogenesis. *In vitro* infection of an oral cancerous cell line (OQ01) with *Fusobacterium nucleatum* showed increased IL-8 production and invasiveness of the cell line [120]. In another *in vitro* study, human OSCC cells showed increased proliferation and invasiveness when co-incubated with *P. gingivalis* and *F. nucleatum*. Prolonged exposure resulted in a change in OSCC morphology from polygonal to elongated, a decrease in the levels of the epithelial marker Cytokeratin-13 and an increase of mesenchymal markers (N-cadherin and α-Smooth Muscle Acton (SMA)), indicating an Epithelial-to-Mesemchymal Transition (EMT) phenotype [121]. *P. gingivalis* has also been shown to inhibit apoptosis, and enhance cell proliferation and cellular invasion with *F. nucleatum* [122–129], and their role in promoting OSCC has been characterised extensively [26, 99, 130]. In an *in vivo* 4NQO-induced carcinoma model, mice administered with 4NQO and co-infected with both *P. gingivalis* and *F. nucleatum* developed tongue tumours 2.5 times in size compared to mice only administered 4NQO and had an increased expression of the oncogene cyclin D1 [131].

*Candida* species have been widely reported in association with oral potentially malignant lesions and in OSCC samples. Through hyphal formation, that enables active penetration or endocytosis, *Candida* species have been shown to invade epithelial cells [132–136]. Further contact-sensing and hyphal extension, combined with secretion of toxins and virulence factors induces damage to the oral epithelia [137–139]. *Candida* species also produce nitrosamines and their nitrosation potential can lead to DNA damage, and eventually initiate carcinogenic changes [107, 140]. *Candida* species have been isolated from lesions of oral leukoplakia, where a higher nitrosation potential of the fungal strain was associated with an advanced potentially malignant stage [104]. Therefore, it is likely that increased *Candida* abundance, adhesion and invasion with tobacco usage, and the potential of *Candida*-induced nitrosative changes plays a role in the development of potentially malignant lesions and progression to cancer [139, 141].

# Leveraging the oral microbiome as a tool or target in the management of tobacco-associated oral potentially malignant lesions and cancer

Taken together, the use of tobacco alters the oral microbiome, and the oral microbiome influences key pathways involved in inflammation and carcinogenesis (Figure 1). Given this, it is clear that the oral microbiome in potentially malignant states plays a critical role in shaping the oral carcinogenic microenvironment. Therefore, leveraging the oral microbiome towards the management of tobacco-associated potentially malignant lesions and oral cancer could hold potential. For this, there are several strategies and approaches that could be adopted.



Precancerous Lesions and Oral Cancer

Figure 1. Role of tobacco and microbes in oral carcinogenesis: Tobacco use, its forms, products and additives (cigarette, snuff, *gutka*, betel quid, areca nut), and derivatives (like tobacco-specific nitrosamines) have direct carcinogenic effects on the oral mucosa. Tobacco use also alters the oral microbiome, resulting in distinct microbial signatures in normal mucosa, potentially malignant lesions and cancerous tissue. Together, this altered microbiome and tobacco use result in a proinflammatory microenvironment, contributing to potentially malignant lesions, and oral carcinogenesis.

Profiling the oral microbiome in tobacco-associated potentially malignant lesions: Using a combination of standard microbiology approaches and molecular tools, the biogeography of the oral microbiome in a range of tobacco-associated clinical conditions, across variations in diet, lifestyle, associated risk factors, can be profiled. This will serve as a baseline for screening and interventional tools in local populations, and is particularly important given the variations in the microbial profile across study conditions.

Probing the microbiome of tobacco-associated potentially malignant lesions as a cancer screening tool: Based on previous studies, the progression of potentially malignant lesions to oral cancer is associated with an alteration in the microbiome, and levels of microbial metabolites. Detection of these 'signature' markers in potentially malignant lesions could be leveraged as screening approaches. This would serve as a valuable addition to macroscopic (visual) screening for cancerous changes, and be less invasive as compared with routine biopsies. Most importantly, identifying microbial changes early in cancer progression would provide the possibility of targeting the oral microbiome, an active interventional approach to preventing progression to oral cancer.

Targeting the oral microbiome in the management of potentially malignant lesions and oral cancer: For this, a wide range of antimicrobial approaches, including conventional antibiotics and antifungal agents, can be employed. However, given the rise in antibiotic resistance, novel antimicrobial approaches such as peptides, natural extracts, polyphenols, nucleic-acid mimics and structural analogues can be evaluated for antimicrobial and antibiofilm effects. Additional approaches could include targeting the proinflammatory markers, including cytokines and growth factors, known to be dysregulated in potentially malignant lesions, and cancer progression states.

# Conclusion

In conclusion, exploring interactions between tobacco use, the oral microbiome and carcinogenesis could not only lead to identification of potential biomarkers, but also lead to precision-treatment approaches that shape the oral microbiome towards a better therapeutic outcomes for oral potentially malignant lesions and cancer. This will provide comprehensive insights into the 'microbiome paradigm' for the management of tobacco-associated oral carcinogenesis, and open unexplored lines of investigation into precision-based oral cancer therapeutics.

# **Conflicts of interest**

Dr Sudhanshu Patwardhan (SP) is a paid Director for Centre for Health Research and Education (CHRE) UK, an independent healthcare company, which works on projects on smoking cessation and cancer prevention globally. CHRE has received grants from Foundation for a Smoke-free World, Inc for some of its smoking cessation projects. For this article, SP or CHRE did not receive any external funding.

# **Funding statement**

The academic appointments of Karishma S Kaushik (KSK) and Snehal Kadam are funded via the Ramalingaswami Re-entry Fellowship (to KSK), Department of Biotechnology, Government of India. This review was commissioned and funded by CHRE.

# **Acknowledgments**

The academic appointments of Karishma S Kaushik and Snehal Kadam are funded via the Ramalingaswami Re-entry Fellowship (to KSK).

# References

- 1. Wipfli H and Samet JM (2016) One hundred years in the making: the global tobacco epidemic Annu Rev Public Heal **37** 149–166 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-032315-021850">https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-032315-021850</a>
- 2. WHO launches new report on global tobacco use trends (n.d.) [https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/19-12-2019-who-launches-new-report-on-global-tobacco-use-trends] Date accessed: 12/08/20
- 3. Siddiqi K, Shah S, and Abbas SM, *et al* (2015) Global burden of disease due to smokeless tobacco consumption in adults: analysis of data from 113 countries BMC Med 13 194 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-015-0424-2 PMID: 26278072 PMCID: 4538761
- 4. The Foundation for a Smoke-Free World. India Country Report 2020
- 5. Gupta PC (2001) The public health impact of tobacco Curr Sci 81 475-481 https://doi.org/10.2307/24105879
- 6. Bonnie RJ, Stratton K, and Kwan LY (2015) Products C on the PHI of R the MA for PT, Practice B on PH and PH, Medicine I of. The Effects of Tobacco Use on Health
- Khani Y, Pourgholam-Amiji N, and Afshar M, et al (2018) Tobacco smoking and cancer types: a review Biomed Res Ther 5 2142–2159 https://doi.org/10.15419/bmrat.v5i4.428
- 8. WHO (2020) Who report on cancer: setting priorities, investing wisely and providing care for all
- 9. Surgeon General (2010) How Tobacco Smoke Causes Disease: The Biology and Behavioral Basis for Smoking-Attributable Disease (Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention)
- 10. Campain JA (2004) Nicotine: potentially a multifunctional carcinogen? *Toxicol Sci* 79(1) 1–3 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfh106">https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfh106</a> PMID: 15146885
- 11. Smith CJ, Livingston SD, and Doolittle DJ (1997) An international literature survey of "IARC group I carcinogens" reported in mainstream cigarette smoke Food Chem Toxicol 35 1107–1130 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-6915(97)00063-X
- 12. Perry BJ, Zammit AP, and Lewowski AW, et al (2020) Sites of origin of oral cavity cancer in nonsmokers vs smokers: possible evidence of dental trauma carcinogenesis and its importance compared with human papillomavirus JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 141 5–11 https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoto.2014.2620
- Dhanuthai K, Rojanawatsirivej S, and Thosaporn W, et al (2018) Oral cancer: a multicenter study Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 23 e23– e29 https://doi.org/10.4317/medoral.21999 PMCID: 5822535
- 14. Morse DE, Psoter WJ, and Cleveland D, et al (2007) Smoking and drinking in relation to oral cancer and oral epithelial dysplasia Cancer Causes Control 18 919–929 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-007-9026-4 PMID: 17647085 PMCID: 2139900
- 15. Location of Oral Cancers Differs in Smokers, Nonsmokers For The Media JAMA Network n.d. [https://media.jamanetwork.com/ news-item/location-of-oral-cancers-differs-in-smokers-nonsmokers/] Date accessed: 2/08/20
- 16. Johnson N (2001) Tobacco use and oral cancer: a global perspective J Dent Educ 65(4) 328–339 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/j.0022-0337.2001.65.4.tb03403.x">https://doi.org/10.1002/j.0022-0337.2001.65.4.tb03403.x</a> PMID: 11336118
- 17. Warnakulasuriya S and Straif K (2018) Carcinogenicity of smokeless tobacco: evidence from studies in humans & experimental animals Indian J Med Res 148 681–686 https://doi.org/10.4103/ijmr.IJMR\_149\_18
- Hoffmann D, Rivenson A, and Chung FL, et al (1991) Nicotine-derived n-nitrosamines (TSNA) and their relevance in tobacco carcinogenesis Crit Rev Toxicol 21 305–311 https://doi.org/10.3109/10408449109017917 PMID: 2069715

- Preston-Martin S (1991) Evaluation of the evidence that tobacco-specific nitrosamines (TSNA) cause cancer in humans Crit Rev Toxicol 21 295–298 https://doi.org/10.3109/10408449109017915 PMID: 2069713
- 20. Gillenwater A, Papadimitrakopoulou V, and Richards-Kortum R (2006) Oral premalignancy: New methods of detection and treatment *Curr Oncol Rep* **8** 146–154 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11912-006-0050-4 PMID: 16507225 PMCID: 2773158
- 21. Peltanova B, Raudenska M, and Masarik M (2019) Effect of tumor microenvironment on pathogenesis of the head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: a systematic review *Mol Cancer* 18 1–24 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-019-0983-5
- 22. Rivera C (2015) Essentials of oral cancer Int J Clin Exp Pathol 8 11884–11894 PMID: 26617944 PMCID: 4637760
- Ganesh D, Sreenivasan P, and Ohman J, et al (2018) Potentially malignant oral disorders and cancer transformation Anticancer Res 38 3223–3229 https://doi.org/10.21873/anticanres.12587 PMID: 29848669
- 24. Warnakulasuriya S, Johnson NW, and Van Der Waal I (2007) Nomenclature and classification of potentially malignant disorders of the oral mucosa J Oral Pathol Med 36 575–580 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0714.2007.00582.x PMID: 17944749
- Lamont RJ, Koo H, and Hajishengallis G (2018) The oral microbiota: dynamic communities and host interactions Nat Rev Microbiol 16 745-759 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-018-0089-x PMID: 30301974 PMCID: 6278837
- Chattopadhyay I, Verma M, and Panda M (2019) Role of oral microbiome signatures in diagnosis and prognosis of oral cancer Technol Cancer Res Treat 18 https://doi.org/10.1177/1533033819867354 PMID: 31370775 PMCID: 6676258
- Avila M, Ojcius DM, and Yilmaz Ö (2009) The oral microbiota: living with a permanent guest DNA Cell Biol 28 405–411 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1089/dna.2009.0874">https://doi.org/10.1089/dna.2009.0874</a> PMID: 19485767 PMCID: 2768665
- 28. Chen T, Yu WH, and Izard J, *et al* (2010) The Human Oral Microbiome Database: a web accessible resource for investigating oral microbe taxonomic and genomic information *Database* (Oxford) 2010 baqo13 https://doi.org/10.1093/database/baq013
- Srinivasprasad V, Dineshshankar J, and Sathiyajeeva J, et al (2015) Liaison between micro-organisms and oral cancer J Pharm Bioallied Sci 7 S354–S360 https://doi.org/10.4103/0975-7406.163451 PMID: 26538877 PMCID: 4606619
- Whitmore SE and Lamont RJ (2014) Oral bacteria and cancer PLoS Pathog 10 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003933</u> PMID: 24676390 PMCID: 3968118
- 31. Johnson GK, Guthmiller JM, and Joly S, et al (2010) Interleukin-1 and interleukin-8 in nicotine- and lipopolysaccharide-exposed gingival keratinocyte cultures J Periodontal Res 45 583–588 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0765.2009.01262.x PMID: 20337880
- Johnson GK, Poore TK, and Squier CA, et al (1994) Prostaglandin E2 and interleukin-1 levels in smokeless tobacco-induced oral mucosal lesions J Periodontal Res 29 430–438 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0765.1994.tb01245.x PMID: 7877079
- Upadhyay J, Upadhyay RB, and Agrawal P, et al (2013) Langerhans cells and their role in oral mucosal diseases N Am J Med Sci 5 505–514 https://doi.org/10.4103/1947-2714.118923 PMID: 24251267 PMCID: 3818822
- 34. Daniels TE, Chou L, and Greenspan JS, *et al* (1992) Reduction of Langerhans cells in smokeless tobacco-associated oral mucosal lesions J Oral Pathol Med **21** 100–104 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0714.1992.tb00990.x PMID: <u>1374796</u>
- 35. Shah SA, Ganesan SM, and Varadharaj S, *et al* (2017) The making of a miscreant: Tobacco smoke and the creation of pathogen-rich biofilms Npj Biofilms Microbiomes 3(1) <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-017-0033-2">https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-017-0033-2</a> PMID: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-017-0033-2">29081982</a> PMCID: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-017-0033-2">5655325</a>
- 36. Kumar PS, Matthews CR, and Joshi V, et al (2011) Tobacco smoking affects bacterial acquisition and colonization in oral biofilms Infect Immun **79** 4730-4738 https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.05371-11 PMID: 21859855 PMCID: 3257914
- Pabst MJ, Pabst KM, and Collier JA, et al (1995) Inhibition of neutrophil and monocyte defensive functions by nicotine J Periodontol 66 1047–1055 https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.1995.66.12.1047 PMID: 8683417

- 38. Rodríguez-Rabassa M, López P, and Rodríguez-Santiago RE, *et al* (2018) Cigarette smoking modulation of saliva microbial composition and cytokine levels Int J Environ Res Public Health **15**(11) 2479 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15112479 PMCID: 6266915
- Lee J, Taneja V, and Vassallo R (2012) Cigarette smoking and inflammation: cellular and molecular mechanisms J Dent Res 91 142–149 https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034511421200 PMCID: 3261116
- 40. Javed F, Al-Kheraif AA, and Al Amri MD, et al (2015) Periodontal parameters and whole salivary cytokine profiles among habitual gutka chewers and non-chewers J Periodontol 86 689–695 https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.2015.140556 PMID: 25612632
- Johnson GK, Payne JB, and Fili JM, et al (2007) Development of smokeless tobacco-induced oral mucosal lesions J Oral Pathol Med 27 388–394 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0714.1998.tb01972.x
- 42. Sundar IK, Javed F, and Romanos GE, et al (2016) E-cigarettes and flavorings induce inflammatory and pro-senescence responses in oral epithelial cells and periodontal fibroblasts Oncotarget 7 77196–77204 <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.12857</u> PMID: <u>27791204</u> PMCID: 5363580
- 43. Deepthi G, Nandan SRK, and Kulkarni PG (2019) Salivary tumour necrosis factor-α as a biomarker in oral leukoplakia and oral squamous cell carcinoma Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev 20 2087–2093 https://doi.org/10.31557/APJCP.2019.20.7.2087
- 44. Ameena M and Rathy R (2019) Evaluation of tumor necrosis factor: Alpha in the saliva of oral cancer, leukoplakia, and healthy controls a comparative study *J* Int Oral Heal **11** 92 https://doi.org/10.4103/jioh.jioh\_202\_18
- Hoare A, Soto C, and Rojas-Celis V, et al (2019) Chronic inflammation as a link between periodontitis and carcinogenesis Mediators Inflamm2019 1029857 <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/1029857</u>
- Lee CH, Chang JSM, and Syu SH, et al (2015) IL-1β promotes malignant transformation and tumor aggressiveness in oral cancer J Cell Physiol 230 875–884 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.24816</u>
- 47. Seyedroudbari SA and Khan MM (1998) In vitro effects of smokeless tobacco extract on tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF-α) and interleukin-1β (IL-1β) production, and on lymphocyte proliferation *Toxicon* 36 631-637 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S0041-0101(97)00092-5">https://doi.org/10.1016/S0041-0101(97)00092-5</a> PMID: 9643476
- Ma B, Stepanov I, and Hecht SS (2019) Recent studies on DNA adducts resulting from human exposure to tobacco smoke Toxics 7(1) 16 https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics7010016 PMCID: 6468371
- Pryor WA (1997) Cigarette smoke radicals and the role of free radicals in chemical carcinogenicity Environ Health Perspect 105(Suppl 4) 875–882 https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.97105s4875 PMID: 9255574 PMCID: 1470037
- Valavanidis A, Vlachogianni T, and Fiotakis K (2009) Tobacco smoke: Involvement of reactive oxygen species and stable free radicals in mechanisms of oxidative damage, carcinogenesis and synergistic effects with other respirable particles Int J Environ Res Public Health 6 445–462 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph6020445 PMID: 19440393 PMCID: 2672368
- 51. Lipinski B (2011) Hydroxyl radical and its scavengers in health and disease Oxid Med Cell Longev 2011 809696 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/809696">https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/809696</a> PMID: 21904647 PMCID: 3166784
- 52. Nair UJ, Floyd RA, and Nair J, et al (1987) Formation of reactive oxygen species and of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine in DNA in vitro with betel quid ingredients Chem Biol Interact 63 157–169 https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2797(87)90095-0 PMID: 3664791
- Burney S, Caulfield JL, and Niles JC, et al (1999) The chemistry of DNA damage from nitric oxide and peroxynitrite Mutat Res Fundam Mol Mech Mutagen 424 37–49 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0027-5107(99)00006-8
- 54. Yalcin E and de la Monte S (2016) Tobacco nitrosamines as culprits in disease: mechanisms reviewed J Physiol Biochem 72 107–120 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13105-016-0465-9 PMID: 26767836 PMCID: 4868960

- Pappas RS, Stanfill SB, and Watson CH, et al (2008) Analysis of toxic metals in commercial moist snuff and Alaskan Iqmik 32(4) 281–291 https://doi.org/10.1093/JAT/32.4.281 PMID: 18430295
- 56. Bhat MY, Advani J, and Rajagopalan P, et al (2018) Cigarette smoke and chewing tobacco alter expression of different sets of miRNAs in oral keratinocytes *Sci Rep* 8 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25498-2
- 57. Arunkumar G, Deva Magendhra Rao AK, and Manikandan M, et al (2018) Dysregulation of miR-200 family microRNAs and epithelialmesenchymal transition markers in oral squamous cell carcinoma Oncol Lett 15 649–657 <u>https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2017.7296</u> PMID: 29375721 PMCID: 5766066
- Thomas AM, Gleber-Netto FO, and Fernandes GR, et al (2014) Alcohol and tobacco consumption affects bacterial richness in oral cavity mucosa biofilms BMC Microbiol 14 250 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-014-0250-2 PMID: 25278091 PMCID: 4186948
- 59. Vallès Y, Inman CK, and Peters BA, et al (2018) Types of tobacco consumption and the oral microbiome in the United Arab Emirates Healthy Future (UAEHFS) Pilot Study Sci Rep 8 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29730-x PMID: 30054546 PMCID: 6063860
- Wu J, Peters BA, and Dominianni C, et al (2016) Cigarette smoking and the oral microbiome in a large study of American adults ISME J 10 2435–2446 https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2016.37 PMID: 27015003 PMCID: 5030690
- Grine G, Royer A, and Terrer E, et al (2019) Tobacco smoking affects the salivary gram-positive bacterial population Front Public Heal 7 196 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00196
- Beghini F, Renson A, and Zolnik CP, et al (2019) Tobacco exposure associated with oral microbiota oxygen utilization in the New York City Health and Nutrition Examination Study Ann Epidemiol 34 18–25.e3 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2019.03.005</u> PMID: 31076212 PMCID: 6548637
- 63. Mason MR, Preshaw PM, and Nagaraja HN, et al (2015) The subgingival microbiome of clinically healthy current and never smokers ISME J 9 268-272 https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.114
- Shchipkova AY, Nagaraja HN, and Kumar PS (2010) Subgingival microbial profiles of smokers with periodontitis J Dent Res 89 1247– 1253 https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034510377203 PMID: 20739702 PMCID: 3318026
- 65. Winkelhoff AJ van, Bosch-Tijhof CJ, and Winkel EG, et al (2001) Smoking affects the subgingival microflora in periodontitis J Periodontol 72 666–671 https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.2001.72.5.666 PMID: 11394403
- Shakhatreh MAK, Khabour OF, and Alzoubi KH, et al (2018) Alterations in oral microbial flora induced by waterpipe tobacco smoking Int J Gen Med 11 47–54 <a href="https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S150553">https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S150553</a> PMID: 29440924 PMCID: 5799848
- 67. Pushalkar S, Paul B, and Li Q, *et al* (2020) Electronic cigarette aerosol modulates the oral microbiome and increases risk of infection *IScience* 23(3) 100884 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2020.100884 PMID: <u>32105635</u> PMCID: <u>7113564</u>
- Liu M, Jin J, and Pan H, et al (2016) Effect of smokeless tobacco products on human oral bacteria growth and viability Anaerobe 42 152–161 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2016.10.006 PMID: 27756619 PMCID: 5693344
- Hernandez BY, Zhu X, and Goodman MT, et al (2017) Betel nut chewing, oral premalignant lesions, and the oral microbiome PLoS One 12(2) e0172196 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172196</u> PMID: <u>28225785</u> PMCID: <u>5321455</u>
- Tateda M, Shiga K, and Saijo S, et al (2000) Streptococcus anginosus in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: implication in carcinogenesis Int J Mol Med 6 699–703 https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.6.6.699 PMID: 11078831
- Sasaki M, Yamaura C, and Ohara-Nemoto Y, et al (2005) Streptococcus anginosus infection in oral cancer and its infection route Oral Dis 11 151–156 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-0825.2005.01051.x</u> PMID: <u>15888105</u>
- 72. Morita E, Narikiyo M, and Yano A, et al (2003) Different frequencies of Streptococcus anginosus infection in oral cancer and esophageal cancer Cancer Sci 94 492-496 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1349-7006.2003.tb01471.x PMID: 12824872

- 73. Vital M, Howe AC, and Tiedje JM (2014) Revealing the bacterial butyrate synthesis pathways by analyzing (meta)genomic data *MBio* 5 https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00889-14 PMID: 24757212 PMCID: 3994512
- 74. Miyazaki Y, Kikuchi K, and González-Alva P, et al (2010) Association of butyric acid produced by periodontopathic bacteria with progression of oral cancer J Cancer Sci Ther 2 26–32 https://doi.org/10.4172/1948-5956.1000019
- 75. Wu X, Wu Y, and He L, et al (2018) Effects of the intestinal microbial metabolite butyrate on the development of colorectal cancer J Cancer 9 2510–2517 https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.25324 PMID: 30026849 PMCID: 6036887
- Bagaitkar J, Daep CA, and Patel CK, et al (2011) Tobacco smoke augments Porphyromonas gingivalis Streptococcus gordonii biofilm formation PLoS One 6 27386 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027386
- Bagaitkar J, Demuth DR, and Daep CA, et al (2010) Tobacco upregulates P. gingivalis fimbrial proteins which induce TLR2 hyposensitivity PLoS One 5 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009323 PMID: 20454607 PMCID: 2864253
- Hanel AN, Herzog HM, and James MG, et al (2020) Effects of oral commensal streptococci on porphyromonas gingivalis invasion into oral epithelial cells Dent J 8 https://doi.org/10.3390/dj8020039
- 79. Olsen I and Yilmaz Ö (2019) Possible role of Porphyromonas gingivalis in orodigestive cancers J Oral Microbiol 11 <u>https://doi.org/10.10</u> 80/20002297.2018.1563410
- Katz J, Onate MD, and Pauley KM, et al (2011) Presence of Porphyromonas gingivalis in gingival squamous cell carcinoma Int J Oral Sci 3 209–215 https://doi.org/10.4248/IJOS11075 PMID: 22010579 PMCID: 3469978
- Wu J, Zheng M, and Zhang M, et al (2018) Porphyromonas gingivalis promotes 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide-induced oral carcinogenesis with an alteration of fatty acid metabolism Front Microbiol 9 2081 <a href="https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02081">https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02081</a> PMCID: 6131559
- Dickinson BC, Moffatt CE, and Hagerty D, et al (2011) Interaction of oral bacteria with gingival epithelial cell multilayers Mol Oral Microbiol 26 210–220 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-1014.2011.00609.x PMID: 21545698 PMCID: 3248246
- 83. Castillo G del V, Blanc SL de, and Sotomayor CE, *et al* (2018) **Study of virulence factor of Candida species in oral lesions and its association with potentially malignant and malignant lesions** *Arch Oral Biol* **91** 35–41 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.2018.02.012
- 84. Bokor-Bratic M, Cankovic M, and Dragnic N (2013) Unstimulated whole salivary flow rate and anxiolytics intake are independently associated with oral Candida infection in patients with oral lichen planus Eur J Oral Sci 121 427–433 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/eos.12073</u> PMID: 24028590
- 85. Singh SK, Gupta A, and Rajan SY, et al (2014) Correlation of presence of Candida and epithelial dysplasia in oral mucosal lesions J Clin Diagnostic Res 8
- Nagy KN, Sonkodi I, and Szöke I, et al (1998) The microflora associated with human oral carcinomas Oral Oncol 34 304–308 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S1368-8375(98)80012-2">https://doi.org/10.1016/S1368-8375(98)80012-2</a> PMID: 9813727
- Gall F, Colella G, and Di Onofrio V, et al (2013) Candida spp. in oral cancer and oral precancerous lesions New Microbiol 36 283–288 PMID: 23912870
- Sheth CC, Makda K, and Dilmahomed Z, et al (2016) Alcohol and tobacco consumption affect the oral carriage of Candida albicans and mutans streptococci Lett Appl Microbiol 63 254–259 https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12620 PMID: 27450704
- Abduljabbar T, Hussain M, and Adnan T, et al (2017) Comparison of oral Candida species prevalence and carriage among gutka-chewers and betel-quid chewers J Pak Med Assoc 67 350–354 PMID: 28303980
- Semlali A, Killer K, and Alanazi H, et al (2014) Cigarette smoke condensate increases C. albicans adhesion, growth, biofilm formation, and EAP1, HWP1 and SAP2 gene expression BMC Microbiol 14 61 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-14-61">https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-14-61</a> PMID: 24618025 PMCID: 3995653

- 91. Baboni FB, Guariza Filho O, and Moreno AN, *et al* (2010) **Influence of cigarette smoke condensate on cariogenic and candidal biofilm formation on orthodontic materials** *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop* **138** 427–434 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajodo.2009.05.023
- 92. Alanazi H, Semlali A, and Perraud L, et al (2014) Cigarette smoke-exposed candida albicans increased chitin production and modulated human fibroblast cell responses *Biomed Res Int* 2014 https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/963156 PMID: 25302312 PMCID: 4180399
- 93. Karkowska-Kuleta J, Rapala-Kozik M, and Kozik A (2009) Fungi pathogenic to humans: molecular bases of virulence of Candida albicans, Cryptococcus neoformans and Aspergillus fumigatus. Acta Biochim Pol 56 211–224 https://doi.org/10.18388/abp.2009\_2452
- Patil S, Rao RS, and Raj AT (2017) Oral candidal carriage in subjects with pure vegetarian and mixed dietary habits J Clin Diagnostic Res 11
- 95. Al-Hebshi NN, Borgnakke WS, and Johnson NW (2019) The microbiome of oral squamous cell carcinomas: a functional perspective *Curr Oral Heal Rep* 6 145–160 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40496-019-0215-5
- Hooper SJ, Crean SJ, and Fardy MJ, et al (2007) A molecular analysis of the bacteria present within oral squamous cell carcinoma J Med Microbiol 56 1651–1659 https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.46918-0 PMID: 18033835
- 97. Zhao H, Chu M, and Huang Z, et al (2017) Variations in oral microbiota associated with oral cancer Sci Rep 7 1-10 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11779-9">https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11779-9</a>
- Zhang L, Liu Y, and Zheng HJ, et al (2020) The oral microbiota may have influence on oral cancer Front Cell Infect Microbiol 9 476 <a href="https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00476">https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00476</a> PMID: 32010645 PMCID: 6974454
- Perera M, Al-Hebshi NN, and Speicher DJ, et al (2016) Emerging role of bacteria in oral carcinogenesis: a review with special reference to perio-pathogenic bacteria J Oral Microbiol 8 <a href="https://doi.org/10.3402/jom.v8.32762">https://doi.org/10.3402/jom.v8.32762</a> PMID: 27677454 PMCID: 5039235
- 100.Bolz J, Dosá E, and Schubert J, et al (2014) Bacterial colonization of microbial biofilms in oral squamous cell carcinoma Clin Oral Investig 18 409-414 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-013-1007-2
- 101.Nagy K, Szöke I, and Sonkodi I, et al (2000) Inhibition of microflora associated with oral malignancy Oral Oncol 36 32–36 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S1368-8375(99)00046-9">https://doi.org/10.1016/S1368-8375(99)00046-9</a> PMID: 10889916
- 102.Pushalkar S, Ji X, and Li Y, et al (2012) Comparison of oral microbiota in tumor and non-tumor tissues of patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma BMC Microbiol 12 144 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-12-144 PMID: 22817758 PMCID: 3507910
- 103.Lee WH, Chen HM, and Yang SF, et al (2017) Bacterial alterations in salivary microbiota and their association in oral cancer Sci Rep 7 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-16418-x
- 104.Bollati V, Fabris S, and Pegoraro V, et al (2017) Possible mycological etiology of oral mucosal cancer: catalytic potential of infecting Candida aibicans and other yeasts in production of N -nitrosobenzylmethylamine Carcinogenesis 30 1330–1335 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgp149">https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgp149</a>
- 105.Hooper SJ, Wilson MJ, and Crean SJ (2009) Exploring the link between microorganisms and oral cancer: a systematic review of the literature *Head Neck* **31** 1228–1239 https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.21140 PMID: 19475550
- 106.Shukla K, Vun I, and Lov I, et al (2019) Role of Candida infection in the malignant transformation of oral leukoplakia: A systematic review of observational studies *Transl Res Oral Oncol* 4 2057178X1982822 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/2057178X19828229">https://doi.org/10.1177/2057178X19828229</a>
- 107.Sankari SL, Gayathri K, and Balachander N, et al (2015) Candida in potentially malignant oral disorders J Pharm Bioallied Sci 7 S162–S164 https://doi.org/10.4103/0975-7406.155886 PMID: 26015698 PMCID: 4439658
- 108.Hooper SJ, Crean SJ, and Lewis MAO, et al (2006) Viable bacteria present within oral squamous cell carcinoma tissue J Clin Microbiol 44 1719–1725 https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.44.5.1719-1725.2006 PMID: 16672398 PMCID: 1479175

Review

- 109. Vivarelli S, Salemi R, and Candido S, et al (2019) Gut microbiota and cancer: from pathogenesis to therapy Cancers (Basel) 11 <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11010038">https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11010038</a>
- 110.García-Castillo V, Sanhueza E, and McNerney E, et al (2016) Microbiota dysbiosis: A new piece in the understanding of the carcinogenesis puzzle J Med Microbiol 65 1347–1362 https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.000371 PMID: 27902422
- 111.Sheflin AM, Whitney AK, and Weir TL (2014) Cancer-promoting effects of microbial dysbiosis Curr Oncol Rep 16 1-9 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s11912-014-0406-0">https://doi.org/10.1007/s11912-014-0406-0</a>
- 112.Kosumi K, Mima K, and Baba H, et al (2018) Dysbiosis of the gut microbiota and colorectal cancer: the key target of molecular pathological epidemiology J Lab Precis Med 3 76 https://doi.org/10.21037/jlpm.2018.09.05 PMID: 30345420 PMCID: 6195365
- 113.Meurman JH (2010) Oral microbiota and cancer J Oral Microbiol 2 <a href="https://doi.org/10.3402/jom.v2i0.5195">https://doi.org/10.3402/jom.v2i0.5195</a> PMID: <a href="https://doi.org/10.3402/jom.v2i0.5195">21523227</a> PMCID: <a href="https://doi.org/10.3402/jom.v2i0.5195">3084564</a>
- 114.la Rosa GRM, Gattuso G, and Pedullà E, *et al* (2020) Association of oral dysbiosis with oral cancer development (Review) Oncol Lett 19 3045–3058 <a href="https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2020.11441">https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2020.11441</a> PMID: <u>32211076</u> PMCID: <u>7079586</u>
- 115.Lax AJ (2005) Bacterial toxins and cancer a case to answer? Nat Rev Microbiol 3 343–349 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1130</u> PMID: 15806096
- 116.Zhang G and Ghosh S (2004) Molecular mechanisms of NF-κB activation induced by bacterial lipopolysaccharide through Toll-like receptors *J Endotoxin Res* 6 453-457 https://doi.org/10.1179/096805100101532414
- 117.Coussens LM and Werb Z (2002) Inflammation and cancer Nature 420 860–867 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01322">https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01322</a> PMID: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01322">12490959</a> PMCID: 2803035
- 118.Philip M, Rowley DA, and Schreiber H (2004) Inflammation as a tumor promoter in cancer induction Semin Cancer Biol **14** 433–439 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2004.06.006 PMID: <u>15489136</u>
- 119.Lin WW and Karin M (2007) A cytokine-mediated link between innate immunity, inflammation, and cancer J Clin Invest **117** 1175–1183 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI31537">https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI31537</a> PMID: <u>17476347</u> PMCID: <u>1857251</u>
- 120.Harrandah A, Chukkapalli SS, and Dunn WJ, et al (2019) Abstract 2824: Modulation of oral cancer cells survival and invasiveness by fusobacteria Cancer Res 79 2824 https://doi.org/10.1158/1538-7445.am2019-2824
- 121.Ha NH, Woo BH, and Kim DJ, *et al* (2015) **Prolonged and repetitive exposure to Porphyromonas gingivalis increases aggressiveness of** oral cancer cells by promoting acquisition of cancer stem cell properties *Tumor Biol* **36** 9947–9960 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13277-015-3764-9</u>
- 122.Yilmaz Ö, Jungas T, and Verbeke P, et al (2004) Activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt pathway contributes to survival of primary epithelial cells infected with the periodontal pathogen Porphyromonas gingivalis Infect Immun 72 3743-3751 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.72.7.3743-3751.2004">https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.72.7.3743-3751.2004</a> PMID: 15213114 PMCID: 427421
- 123.Mao S, Park Y, and Hasegawa Y, et al (2007) Intrinsic apoptotic pathways of gingival epithelial cells modulated by Porphyromonas gingivalis Cell Microbiol 9 1997–2007 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2007.00931.x PMID: 17419719 PMCID: 2886729
- 124.Yao L, Jermanus C, and Barbetta B, et al (2010) Porphyromonas gingivalis infection sequesters pro-apoptotic Bad through Akt in primary gingival epithelial cells Mol Oral Microbiol 25 89–101 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-1014.2010.00569.x">https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-1014.2010.00569.x</a> PMID: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-1014.2010.00569.x">20331797</a> PMCID: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-1014.2010.00569.x">https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-1014.2010.00569.x</a> PMID: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041
- 125.Kuboniwa M, Hasegawa Y, and Mao S, et al P. gingivalis accelerates gingival epithelial cell progression through the cell cycle Microbes Infect 10 122–128 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2007.10.011 PMID: 18280195 PMCID: 2311419

- 126.Inaba H, Sugita H, and Kuboniwa M, et al (2014) Porphyromonas gingivalis promotes invasion of oral squamous cell carcinoma through induction of proMMP9 and its activation Cell Microbiol 16 131–145 https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12211 PMCID: 3939075
- 127.Pan C, Xu X, Tan L, Lin L, Pan Y. The effects of Porphyromonas gingivalis on the cell cycle progression of human gingival epithelial cells. Oral Dis 2014;20:100–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/odi.12081
- 128. Uitto VJ, Baillie D, and Wu Q, et al (2005) Fusobacterium nucleatum increases collagenase 3 production and migration of epithelial cells Infect Immun 73 1171–1179 https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.2.1171-1179.2005 PMID: 15664960 PMCID: 547012
- 129.Zhou Y, Sztukowska M, and Wang Q, et al (2015) Noncanonical activation of β-catenin by Porphyromonas gingivalis Infect Immun 83 3195– 3203 https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00302-15
- 130.Zhang W-I, Wang S-s, and Wang H-f, et al (2019) Who is who in oral cancer? Exp Cell Res 384 111634 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2019.111634">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2019.111634</a> PMID: 31541617
- 131.Gallimidi AB, Fischman S, and Revach B, *et al* (2015) Periodontal pathogens Porphyromonas gingivalis and Fusobacterium nucleatum promote tumor progression in an oral-specific chemical carcinogenesis model Oncotarget 6 22613–22623 <u>https://doi.org/10.18632/</u>oncotarget.4209 PMCID: 4673186
- 132.Zakikhany K, Naglik JR, and Schmidt-westhausen A, et al (2007) In vivo transcript profiling of Candida albicans identifies a gene essential for interepithelial dissemination Cell Microbiol 9 2938–2954 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2007.01009.x PMID: 17645752
- 133.Naglik JR, König A, and Hube B, et al (2017) Candida albicans-epithelial interactions and induction of mucosal innate immunity Curr Opin Microbiol 40 104–112 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2017.10.030 PMID: 29156234 PMCID: 5733685
- 134.Phan QT, Myers CL, and Fu Y, *et al* (2007) Als3 is a Candida albicans invasin that binds to cadherins and induces endocytosis by host cells *PLoS Biol* 5 0543–0557 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0050064
- 135.Martin R, Wächtler B, and Schaller M, et al (2011) Host-pathogen interactions and virulence-associated genes during Candida albicans oral infections Int J Med Microbiol 301 417–422 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2011.04.009 PMID: 21555244
- 136.Phan QT, Fratti RA, and Prasadarao NV, et al (2005) N-cadherin mediates endocytosis of Candida albicans by endothelial cells J Biol Chem 280 10455-10461 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M412592200 PMID: 15632157
- 137.Wächtler B, Wilson D, and Haedicke K, *et al* (2011) From attachment to damage: defined genes of Candida albicans mediate adhesion, invasion and damage during interaction with oral epithelial cells *PLoS One* 6 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017046</u> PMID: 21407800 PMCID: 3044159
- 138.Moyes DL, Wilson D, and Richardson JP, et al (2016) Candidalysin is a fungal peptide toxin critical for mucosal infection Nature 532 64-68 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17625 PMID: 27027296 PMCID: 4851236
- 139.Engku Nasrullah Satiman EAF, Ahmad H, and Ramzi AB, et al (2020) The role of Candida albicans candidalysin ECE1 gene in oral carcinogenesis J Oral Pathol Med jop.13014 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/jop.13014">https://doi.org/10.1111/jop.13014</a>
- 140.Krogh P (1990) The role of yeasts in oral cancer by means of endogenous nitrosation Acta Odontol Scand 48 85-88 <a href="https://doi.org/10.3109/00016359009012738">https://doi.org/10.3109/00016359009012738</a> PMID: 2181815
- 141.Sanjaya PR, Gokul S, and Gururaj Patil B, et al (2011) Candida in oral pre-cancer and oral cancer Med Hypotheses 77 1125–1128 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2011.09.018">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2011.09.018</a> PMID: 21978967
- 142.O'grady JF and Reade PC (1992) Candida albicans as a promoter of oral mucosal neoplasia Carcinogenesis 13 783–786 [https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/13.5.783] https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/13.5.783
- 143.Gümüa ZH, Du B, and Kacker A, et al (2008) Effects of tobacco smoke on gene expression and cellular pathways in a cellular model of oral leukoplakia Cancer Prev Res 1 100–111 https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-08-0007

- 144.Sasaki M, Ohara-Nemoto Y, and Tajika S, *et al* (2001) Antigenic characterisation of a novel Streptococcus anginosus antigen that induces nitric oxide synthesis by murine peritoneal exudate cells *J Med Microbiol* **50** 952–958 <u>https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-50-11-952</u> PMID: 11699591
- 145.Patil S, Arakeri G, and Alamir AWH, et al (2020) Is toombak a risk factor for oral leukoplakia and oral squamous cell carcinoma ? A systematic review J Oral Pathol Med 49 103-109 https://doi.org/10.1111/jop.12954
- 146.Negi M, Sepolia N, and Panwar S, et al (2019) Prevalence of oral parameters in smokeless tobacco-associated precancer J Fam Med Prim Care 8 3956 https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_667\_19
- 147.Hu X, Zhang Q, and Hua H, et al (2016) Changes in the salivary microbiota of oral leukoplakia and oral cancer Oral Oncol 56 e6-e8 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2016.03.007 PMID: 27026576
- 148.Bakaris S, Okur E, and Yildirim I, et al (2007) Ki-67 protein expression in smokeless tobacco (Maras powder)-induced oral mucosal lesions Toxicol Mech Methods 17 567–574 https://doi.org/10.1080/15376510701523823 PMID: 20020883
- 149.Rajagopalan P, Patel K, and Jain AP, et al (2018) Molecular alterations associated with chronic exposure to cigarette smoke and chewing tobacco in normal oral keratinocytes Cancer Biol Ther 19 773–785 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/15384047.2018.1470724</u> PMID: 29723088 PMCID: 6154853
- 150.Schmidt BL, Kuczynski J, and Bhattacharya A, *et al* (2014) **Changes in abundance of oral microbiota associated with oral cancer** *PLoS* One **9** e98741 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098741 PMID: 24887397 PMCID: 4041887
- 151.Rohatgi N, Matta A, and Kaur J, et al (2006) Novel molecular targets of smokeless tobacco (khaini) in cell culture from oral hyperplasia Toxicology 224 1–13 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2006.03.014 PMID: 16730401